

CO₂ in Arctic snow cover: landscape form, in-pack gas concentration gradients, and the implications for the estimation of gaseous fluxes

H. G. Jones,*¹ J. W. Pomeroy², T. D. Davies³, M. Tranter⁴ and P. Marsh²

¹*INRS-Eau, Ste-Foy, Quebec, G1V 4C7, Canada*

²*National Hydrology Research Centre, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 3H5, Canada*

³*School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom*

⁴*School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1SS, United Kingdom*

Abstract:

The physical characteristics and CO₂ concentrations of snow cover in the western Canadian arctic were examined at sites with different landscape forms (valley floor, hillslope, plateau). The greater exposure of plateau snow cover to blowing snow results in differences in the structure of the snow cover and different snow strata compared with snow covers on the other landscape forms. Both higher in-pack concentrations of CO₂ and the largest vertical CO₂ concentration gradients were found in plateau snow cover, the smallest in the deeper hillslope and valley snows. CO₂ gradients in all landscape snow covers followed two patterns, i.e. where concentrations at the soil–snow interface are higher than those just below (5 cm) and the snow–atmosphere interface and vice versa. The latter pattern is due to the transport of the gas from the lower levels to the upper levels of the snowpack by wind-induced advection (windpumping) and is indicative of non steady-state, non-diffusive processes. These latter processes should thus be considered in any studies on CO₂ fluxes from Arctic soil where snow cover topography and winds are conducive to windpumping and where concentration gradients resulting from diffusive processes have not been clearly identified. Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEY WORDS carbon dioxide; snow cover; Arctic; gaseous fluxes; wind pumping; landscape form

INTRODUCTION

Snow cover plays an important role in governing gaseous exchange between the soil and the atmosphere by forming a multi-phase porous structure that is sensitive to fluxes of heat and mass. Gaseous exchange between the soil and the atmosphere is a well known phenomenon in Arctic ecosystems throughout the winter season (Coyne and Kelley, 1971, 1974; Zimov *et al.*, 1993; Fahnestock *et al.*, 1998; Jones *et al.*, 1999a). Gas fluxes through snow are a significant part of the global carbon budget and can be the largest component of the annual flux in the Arctic because of the long snow-covered season and possible microbial respiration or release of gas trapped by frozen soils (Oechel *et al.*, 1997; Jones *et al.*, 1998; Scott and Larson, 1985; Winston *et al.*, 1997). Fluxes of gases such as CO₂ and N₂O from snow cover to the atmosphere have been measured directly using chambers placed on snow (CO₂, Winston *et al.*, 1995; Oechel *et al.*, 1997; Mast *et al.*, 1998) or calculated from concentration gradients and assumed transfer coefficients of CO₂ or N₂O within the snowpack (Solomon and Cerling, 1987; Sommerfeld *et al.*, 1993; van Bochove *et al.*, 1996; Fahnestock *et al.*,

* Correspondence to: Dr H. G. Jones, INRS-Eau, Ste-Foy, Quebec, G1V 4C7, Canada.

1998; Mast *et al.*, 1998; Jones *et al.*, 1999a). If the gradients are linear, and mass transport is due to diffusion, then gas fluxes can be calculated using Fick's first law of diffusion as:

$$F = D_s(d[g]/dz)f \quad (1)$$

where F is the steady state flux of the gas (e.g. mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹), D_s is the diffusion coefficient for the gas in snow, (m² h⁻¹), $d[g]/dz$ is the concentration gradient (ppmv m⁻¹) and f the conversion factor for the concentration unit of volume/volume (ppmv) to that of mass/volume (mg m⁻³).

D_s may be estimated directly from experiments in the field (Zimov *et al.*, 1993; Jones *et al.*, 1999b) or calculated as:

$$D_s = D_a \theta / \delta \quad (2)$$

where D_a is the diffusion coefficient of the gas in air, θ is the porosity of the snow (dimensionless) and δ is the tortuosity of the snow (dimensionless).

The porosity of snow can be obtained from the density of snow as:

$$\theta = 1 - \rho_s / \rho_i \quad (3)$$

where ρ_s and ρ_i are the density of snow and ice respectively. Typical values of snow density in various environments and seasons are listed by Pomeroy and Gray (1995).

The tortuosity of snow is, however, very difficult to measure (Winston *et al.*, 1995) as it can vary with the degree of metamorphism of snow grains, the presence of ice crusts and lenses, and water content (Perla, 1982). Consequently there are few values available in the literature. In some studies on Alpine snow cover where the snow is relatively deep and homogeneous with little structural differentiation, the value of δ has been assumed to be either equal to 1 (Sommerfeld *et al.*, 1993) or a function of the observed porosity ($\delta = \theta^{1/3}$, Mast *et al.*, 1998). In the case of shallower Arctic snow cover, some studies have taken tortuosity into account by reducing the calculated fluxes by 35% of their original values (Fahnestock *et al.*, 1998; Jones *et al.*, 1999a).

When concentration gradients within snowpacks cannot be determined due to a restricted number of measurements, then some studies have assumed the applicability of Fick's law to calculate gas fluxes from concentrations measured at the base of the snowpack and in the air above the snow. For instance, Zimov *et al.* (1993) and Jones *et al.* (1999a) assumed that steady-state diffusion dominated CO₂ exchange and that therefore concentrations measured at the soil surface gave rise to linear vertical gradients in the over-lying snow cover. The assumption of steady-state diffusion in such calculations may lead to erroneous CO₂ fluxes during sampling periods if the snowpack has horizontal impermeable ice lenses which impede diffusion (Hardy *et al.*, 1995) or if exchange processes other than diffusion are significant.

In particular, the assumption that steady-state diffusion is the only process for CO₂ transport through snow must be carefully evaluated when applied to the Arctic environment, where snow covers can be highly irregular (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1993; Marsh and Pomeroy, 1996; Essery *et al.*, 1999), episodic CO₂ emissions occur (Coyne and Kelley, 1971; Zimov *et al.*, 1993) and irregular soil and snow microtopography, high wind exposure, and intense temperature gradients can cause mass transport by convection (Benson and Sturm, 1993) and/or advection (Colbeck, 1989; Clarke and Waddington, 1991; Albert *et al.*, 1996).

If transmission of gas does take place by air flow, then the permeability of the snow must be taken into account (Albert and Hardy, 1995). The permeability influences fluid transport through pore space according to Darcy's law.

Permeability, K , m², is defined as:

$$K = V\mu[dx/dP] \quad (4)$$

where V is the volumetric flux of air, m s^{-1} , μ is the viscosity of air, $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, and dx/dP the reciprocal of the pressure gradient in $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$. Permeability is affected by porosity and tortuosity but there is no direct relationship between the three parameters (Hardy *et al.*, 1995).

The focus of this paper is to demonstrate the influence of snowpack structure and depth on the mode of gaseous transport within the snow covers of different Arctic landscape types. CO₂ was chosen as the gas due to easy of measurement and the availability of data from prior studies.

METHODOLOGY

Experimental sites

Sampling of the snowpack, ambient air and air in snow for CO₂ was carried out at two sites in the continuous permafrost zone of the western Canadian Arctic (Figure 1). The site climate, vegetation and topography is fully described by Pomeroy and Marsh (1997). The first, Havikpak Creek, a small valley 10 km southeast of Inuvik, Northwest Territories (68°N 134°W) is dominated by a sparsely-wooded, open black spruce forest. Vegetation at the snow–soil interface consists of lichens and mosses. A thick, organic layer (0.3–1.0 m) overlies poorly drained mineral soils. The seasonally-thawing soil layer is shallow, between 0.3 and 0.5 m deep. Snow air samples for CO₂ analyses were taken at six points, spaced 0.5–1 m apart, along a transect through the woodland (Transect A, 6 m; Figure 1) on 16 April 1992.

The second site, Trail Valley Creek, is an upland tundra basin, situated 50 km north of Inuvik. Vegetation consists of grasses, mosses, lichens and shrubs. Soils consist of an organic mat (0.1–0.5 m thick) overlaying mineral soil. The organic mat is thicker in poorly-drained lowland sites. The seasonally-thawing soil layer has a variable depth, between 0.3 and 2 m, depending on snow insulation (snow depth) and drainage. Snow atmosphere samples were taken along a transect (Transect B, 100 m; Figure 1) over three landscape forms — a tundra valley flow (30 m), a snow-drift covered hillslope (50 m; along a rise of 30 m in height) and a tundra upland plateau (20 m) which was well-exposed to wind. There were six sampling points in the valley, three points on the hillslope, and four points on the plateau. The distance between each sampling point was 5 m on the plateau and in the valley and 15 m along the hillslope. The samples were taken on 24 April (plateau), 25 April (valley) and 26 April (hillslope and plateau).

Snow cover structure and temperature profiles

Temperature was taken by thermocouples (Havikpak Creek) or dial-type thermometers (Trail Valley Creek). Wind speed was measured adjacent to the Havikpak sites in the valley bottom and nearby to the Trail Valley Creek site on an exposed plateau; each site using four calibrated NGR cup-anemometers in a vertical array. Snow grain size and snow density were recorded using a standard snow measurement kit from the National Hydrology Research Institute of Environment Canada. Snow structure was classified using the International Classification for seasonal snow on the ground (Colbeck *et al.*, 1990).

CO₂ sampling and analyses

Snow atmosphere and air samples for CO₂ analyses were taken with plastic syringes (10 ml); the sample size was 4 ml. Samples were taken from the top of the pack by inserting the needle 4–5 cm into the snow and drawing air into the syringe. Samples from the bottom of the pack were taken by first carefully removing some part of the upper strata of the snow cover (particularly wind slab on the plateau) to provide access and then thrusting the syringe to the ground surface through the depth hoar at an angle. The method generally disturbs the snow cover structure more than the use of long tubes for grab samples of snow gases. However, we considered more specifically the physical effects of the sampling procedure with respect to the displacement of air that could have altered in-pack concentration of the gas at the point of sampling. The needle of the syringe exerts insignificant displacement of air on its own while the removal of the air sample (4 cc) by the hypodermic syringe causes an insignificant flow of air compared to the volume in the snow




Figure 1. Study sites and sample transects, Inuvik, Northwest Territories, Canada, April 1992. Insets, a) snow depth (cm) and sample transect (m) Site 1, Havikpak Creek, b) snow depth (cm) and sample transect (m) Site 2, Trail Valley Creek

layers. The cohesion of the depth hoar layer itself is so weak that the large crystals were simply displaced with very little compression and the volume of gas mixture displaced by movement of the hand would have moved to replace surrounding gas that could be the most easily displaced. The gas cannot easily displace downwards as the soil provides a relatively impermeable surface and for lateral displacement the gas must exert energy to overcome the cumulative friction in moving gas through the porous medium of semi-infinite length. By displacement upwards the gas can leave the snowpack through the shortest route (least friction) and enter the free atmosphere. This would have been the lowest energy, and thus favoured, removal route. As the hand was always well above the snow–air mixture being sampled by the syringe needle, any disturbance was therefore primarily to gas between the hand and the free air. Consequences of turbulence, such as eddies, can be rejected as air flow through a porous medium with displacements of such a relatively small volume would be most unlikely to exceed Reynolds numbers sufficient for turbulent flow. These considerations and field observations thus lead us to conclude that relatively little disturbance of gas-mixture volumes occurs close to the soil–snow interface at the point of sampling by the syringe needles.

Samples were withdrawn from the syringes by suction into previously evacuated tubes (vacutainer, Fisher). The sealed tubes were then transported to the laboratory and CO₂ analyses carried out by the use of a gas chromatograph (Perkin-Elmer Sigma 300) with a Porapak Q 80/100 mesh column. Helium was used as the carrier gas. Injector and column temperatures were both 40 °C and the CO₂ was detected by a thermal-conductivity detector. Blanks were run on evacuated tubes carried into the field and filled with helium prior to the analyses. Control samples were those of ambient air sampled from above the snow cover. CO₂ concentrations were corrected for the temperature at the time of sampling. The detection limit for CO₂ was approximately 5 ppmv.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Snow structure

Snow depth, density, layering and classification for representative snow pits in each of the four sampling areas are shown in Figure 2. Classification terminology follows that for seasonal snow cover properties as outlined by Colbeck *et al.* (1990). The snow profiles show substantial differences in snow stratigraphy as snow crystal/grain type, density and depth vary according to terrain. The Trail Valley Creek 'valley' sites contained low density, metamorphosed snow that was quite similar to that found in the valley at Havikpak (Figure 2). The valley snow profiles are typical of cold subarctic snow covers with depths of 0.7–0.8 m, a deep depth hoar layer and no hard crusts. The Trail Valley Creek plateau sites have a shallow depth (25 cm), very dense, fine-grained snow in the upper crust with interior layers of ice lenses overlying a low-density depth hoar layer. These snows are typical of wind-scoured snowpacks and were extremely 'hard', supporting the investigator's weight without any boot imprint. The Trail Valley Creek hillside sites are typical of tundra snow drifts with much deeper snow than elsewhere, less evidence of metamorphosis and greater density. Pomeroy *et al.* (1997) and Essery *et al.* (1999) have shown that the varying snow depths in this region are due to wind redistribution of snow; better-exposed snowpacks such as that on the plateau being the source of snow for that redeposited in valleys and hillsides.

The variation in snow density results in a range of porosity, tortuosity and hence diffusion coefficients of gas in snow. The range of porosity in individual snow layers varies from 0.73–0.84 for valley snow, 0.65–0.82 for hillside snow and 0.69–0.82 for plateau snow. The hillside and plateau snowpacks are dominated by high density, low porosity snow. The ice and wind crust layers in the plateau snow, by definition, must have sections with extremely low porosity. However, the variation in bulk porosity is relatively small amongst sites. Tortuosity cannot be directly calculated from density and crystal size; values of 1.0 to 1.42 have been assumed by other studies (Massman *et al.*, 1995; Hardy *et al.*, 1995). Here it is suggested that extremely high tortuosity values could develop ice and wind crust layers such as found on the plateau. Low values of permeability would also be expected for the same features.




Figure 2. Snow stratigraphy of samples on the plateau, hillside and in the valleys, Havikpak Creek and Trail Valley Creek, Inuvik, Northwest Territories, Canada, April 1992. Snow depth, snow density, layers and crystal type from representative snow pits for the sampling transects. Densities are shown within each snow layer in kg m^{-3} . Crystal type is shown next to each snow layer, sd = stellar dendrites (fresh particles), sr = small rounded grains, dc = partially decomposed particles, dh = columns of depth hoar, mx = mixed rounded grains, fa = solid faceted crystals, il = horizontal ice layer/depth hoar

CO₂ concentrations in snow

Within-pack CO_2 concentrations measured near the top and bottom of the snowpack for all sites are shown in Figure 3 along with snow depth. For snow deeper than about 0.35 m, snow-air CO_2 concentrations were within 75 ppmv of ambient atmospheric concentrations (356 ± 2 ppmv). However for shallow snow, CO_2 concentrations were up to 404 ppmv greater (760 ppm) than the ambient concentrations and large values occurred in both the top and bottom of the snowpack (Figure 3). Regrouping sampling sites by topography showed that the concentrations of CO_2 in the upper strata of snow cover in the valley transects (mean depth = 0.57 m) were similar to those in the ambient air (mean difference = 12, standard deviation of difference = 9 ppmv). The CO_2 concentrations in the upper strata of the hillslope snow (mean depth = 0.86 m), showed a mean difference with ambient air of 25 (standard deviation of difference = 3) ppmv. In the case of the plateau sites (mean depth = 0.28 m) the mean concentration difference with ambient air was 91 (standard deviation of difference = 65) ppmv.

The generally low values for CO_2 in valley and hillslope and the low soil temperatures showed that emissions of CO_2 were low. Probably, very little respiration was taking place in horizons close to the surface and the CO_2 production in the deeper layers was confined by the low permeability of the frozen surface soil (Zimov *et al.*, 1993). The high CO_2 measurements all occurred in snow on the plateau. The temperature of the soil would indicate that the production of CO_2 by the soil on the plateau should be similar to the valley and hillslope sites. One explanation for the greater concentrations of CO_2 in the plateau snow cover may be due to the greater permeability of the organic soil surrounding the mineral soil which constitutes distinct hummocks (Quinton and Marsh, 1998). Alternatively, it may be due to the greater prevalence of the plateau soils to release episodic emissions of CO_2 under wind-induced thermal stresses of the soil structure (Zimov *et al.*, 1993). If an episodic emission does occur, the presence of crusts or windslab could reduce or prevent CO_2 exchange by diffusion and trap relatively high concentrations of the gas within the snowpack.




Figure 3. CO₂ concentrations (ppmv) found at the top and bottom of snowpacks as a function of total snow depth (m) for all sites

CO₂ profiles

Examples of CO₂, snow strata and temperature profiles for different sites in the snow covers of valley and plateau are shown in Figure 4. The differences between within-pack CO₂ concentrations were either negative (higher concentrations in the lower strata) or positive (higher concentrations in the upper strata) depending on site. Both examples are shown. It is clear from these examples that errors in flux calculations could occur from applying Fick's law by presuming a linear gradient through snow and measuring concentrations only at the bottom of the snowpack and in air (Zimov *et al.*, 1993; Jones *et al.*, 1999a).

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the calculated CO₂ gradients (both positive and negative) in all terrain types. In contrast to valley and hillslope snows there were much stronger gradients of both types in the plateau sites. In the case of the snow cover sites with decreasing bottom-to-top concentrations, we conclude that the negative gradients (Figure 5) are the result of diffusion of CO₂ from the soil through the snow. The production of negative gradients can be the result of both steady-state CO₂ production in soil (Sommerfeld *et al.*, 1993) or non steady-state CO₂ production (Sommerfeld *et al.*, 1996). However, in the situation where the pattern of the CO₂ is reversed i.e. positive CO₂ gradients, we can conclude that diffusion of CO₂ from the soil through snow was not responsible for the observed CO₂ pattern.

The possibility that the CO₂ originated elsewhere than the soil is small, as no CO₂ is produced within such dry, cold snow. Chemical interaction between calcareous dust and acids (Delmas *et al.*, 1996) and microbiological activity (Jones, 1991) by heterotrophic populations in melting snow (Stein and Admunsen, 1967) can generate CO₂ in snow, but require liquid water. The temperature of the snow cover was too low (−7 to −13 °C) for appreciable amounts of liquid water to be present in the hillslope and plateau snowpacks. The valley snowpacks in Trail Valley Creek did reach mid-afternoon temperatures (−5 to −2 °C) where a small amount of interstitial liquid water may have been present. However, the short time period spent at this




Figure 4. Snow structure, CO₂ concentrations and temperature in and just above Arctic snow covers on the plateau and in the valley, Inuvik, April 1992. The CO₂ profiles show the presence of positive and negative concentrations gradients of the gas in two shallow, wind-blown plateau sites (1BP and 3BP) and two sheltered valley sites (4AV and 5AV). Snow strata are shown along with varying temperature gradients


CO₂ concentration gradients in Arctic snow cover.

Figure 5. CO₂ concentration gradients (ppmv m⁻¹) in Arctic snow cover regrouped by landscape type (P, plateau; H, hillside; V, valley bottom)

temperature, negative daily net radiation and the internal energy deficit necessary to overcome before phase change could begin (Marsh and Pomeroy, 1996; Brandt and Warren, 1993) would not have permitted sufficient liquid water for significant chemical or microbial activity.

Positive gradients could be generated if the soil/ground cover became a sink for CO₂. Then the drawdown of CO₂ from the pack would lead to positive gradients. However, the upper limit for concentrations of CO₂ in the top of the pack would be the atmospheric concentration (356 ppm). Sinks could be the result of photosynthetic activity by higher plants and algae at the soil surface although photoautotrophic and chemototrophic bacteria may also contribute in a minor fashion. However, this would be extremely unlikely in the low mid-winter temperatures of a desiccated Arctic soil.

Transport mechanisms

Given no within-pack source of CO₂ and no soil sink, the higher CO₂ concentrations in the upper snow strata can only have resulted from convective transport of CO₂ from the soil to the snow-atmosphere interface. Convective transport requires airflow; airflow in snow can be forced by windpumping (Colbeck, 1989; Massman *et al.*, 1995; Albert and Hardy, 1995) and/or thermal convection (Powers *et al.*, 1985; Sturm, 1991; Sturm and Johnson, 1991).

Thermal convection in snow gives rise to upwelling of relatively warm air from the soil and the compensating downwelling of cold air from the surface (Sturm and Johnson, 1991). The snow covers at Havikpak Creek and the valley site at Trail Valley Creek are similar in porosity and grain size to the snow cover chosen by Sturm (1991) for his study of thermal convection. However, no thermal convection could

have taken place in the spring period we examined as temperature gradients were very weak ($< 6\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$) and most sites had negative (stable) gradients, showing colder air within, or at the base of, the pack than in the upper strata and ambient air.

Windpumping is the result of three processes.

- 1) *Barometric pumping* is driven by changes in air pressure associated with synoptic weather systems and causes air to move very slowly in and out of the snow cover as it expands and contracts (Colbeck, 1989; Cunningham and Waddington, 1993). Barometric pumping could have occurred equally at all sites as it is not sensitive to wind speed or permeability of snow. However, barometric pressure fluctuations were less than 5% over the measurement period and therefore the gas exchange due to barometric pumping would have had a negligible impact for such shallow snow.
- 2) *Turbulent pumping* is caused by fast pressure fluctuations at the surface associated with turbulent eddies in wind flow over snow (Clarke *et al.*, 1987; Clarke and Waddington, 1991). The pressure fluctuations that cause turbulent pumping increase exponentially with wind speed and therefore snowpack exposure to wind (Colbeck, 1989). There are notable differences (40 fold) in the estimate of air flow due to turbulent pumping by the analyses of Colbeck and Clarke and Waddington (1991). Waddington *et al.* (1996) suggest that large numbers of holes in relatively impermeable surface layers such as found on wind-crusts snow could induce 'relatively rigorous air motion at depth'.
- 3) *Topographic pumping* occurs when pressure differences in wind travelling over an undulating snow cover induce quasi-static pressure fields that are strong enough to initiate air currents within the snow structure (Colbeck, 1989). The velocity of the airflow depends on the snow depth, wavelength of surficial topography surface roughness, wind velocity, porosity and air permeability. Waddington *et al.* (1996) showed that the air velocity due to topographic pumping over an undulating surface can be calculated as:

$$Q_z = \frac{K 6 \rho_{air} U_{10}^2 h}{\mu \pi \lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}}{\alpha} \quad (5)$$

where Q_z is vertical air flux (m/s), K is permeability (m^2), μ is air viscosity, ρ_{air} is air density (kg/m^3), U_{10} is wind speed (m/s), h is undulation height (m), λ is undulation wavelength (m) and α is dune orientation with respect to wind direction.

Turbulent and topographic pumping may influence gaseous exchange within snow cover. Massman *et al.* (1997) studied a model of pumping in snow due to short-term turbulent atmospheric pressure fluctuations. They concluded that this type of pumping would not significantly influence the rate of gaseous diffusion of CO_2 through snow unless the pumping effect reached down to the snow–soil interface and impacted on CO_2 concentrations at that level. Thus shallow sites on the plateau could be more sensitive to pumping of this nature than valley and hillslope sites.

The presence of air currents due to topographic pumping can play a more significant role in air exchange through snow. The movement of air through the snow will dominate over the diffusive process by physical transport of CO_2 within the pack in both vertical and horizontal directions. We would expect windpumping to have little effect on the advection of CO_2 in the valley and hillside snow covers compared to the plateau sites. At the valley and hillslope sites the snow surface is relatively smooth (valley coefficient of variation of snow water equivalent = 0.16 (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1998)) and wind speeds are significantly lower (approximately 50%) than over exposed plateaux because of deterioration of air flow over concave topography (Essery *et al.*, 1999).

In contrast, the snow cover on the plateau at Trail Valley Creek is subject to strong winds, has a distinctive wind crust (Figure 2) and is covered with snow dunes (coefficient of variation of snow water equivalent = 0.31 (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1998)). Such conditions would promote forced convection via turbulent and topographic pumping. The surface is heterogeneous and the permeability therefore varies; this will

create a system in which air may flow into the snow cover in relatively permeable areas (turbulent pumping), but be restricted from leaving the snow cover in other less permeable areas. Unfortunately there are presently no methods to calculate the contribution of turbulent pumping through a heterogeneous surface crust. Topographic wind pumping, however, may be estimated from measurements of snow characteristics and wind speed. If we assume a mean snow permeability of $100 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2$, a snow surface characteristic wavelength (λ) of 1 m and undulation height (h) of 0.03, and a porosity (θ) of 0.8, then the calculated airflow velocities in the shallow plateau snow cover using equation (5) are of the order of $4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at a depth of 0.2 m for a wind speed of 5 m s^{-1} . At this velocity, wind pumping would have displaced any CO₂-rich air from the base of the snow cover into the upper strata within a matter of minutes.

Thus during to the sampling period, airflow carrying CO₂ may have been occurring in the upper strata of the plateau snows. Wind speed during the sampling dates varied from 2 to 8 m s^{-1} . CO₂-rich pockets in the upper strata could also have been due to the trapping of CO₂ under the relatively impermeable wind slab if airflow had decreased or stopped prior to sampling. The wind slab/ice layers have a lower porosity and lower permeability than underlying snow strata. If pockets of CO₂ were to be trapped in the upper snow strata in regions of low air flow as wind speed diminished, then the subsequent redistribution of the trapped CO₂ within the snowpack would be by diffusion. We can estimate the persistence of CO₂ pockets by calculating the half-life for the trapped gas. Using a simple dynamic equilibrium calculation for CO₂ diffusion (D_s , $0.025 \text{ m}^2 \text{ h}^{-1}$) between two 'impermeable' boundaries (wind crust and soil), presuming no advection and imposing mass continuity, the calculated decay of CO₂ concentration in an entrapped CO₂-rich (500 ppmv) pocket of air (0.05 m^3) under the crust was 425 ppmv in 1 hour and to 390 ppmv in 2 hours (i.e. a half life of 57 mins).

In the above calculation the value for D_s is estimated from a porosity of snow equal to 0.6; it assumes a snow tortuosity of 1. However, with ice lenses the effective tortuosity may be higher and the half life for the decrease of CO₂ will be greater. In the case of any wind pumping that may have occurred in hillslope or valley sites, the increased porosity of upper snow strata and the absence of ice layers would not have led to any significant trapping of air currents.

The existence of positive gradients of CO₂ which can be explained by snow structure and prevailing meteorological conditions on landscape forms show that non steady-state non-diffusive processes can govern the in-pack transport of gas. The results show that the estimation of CO₂ fluxes through snow cover should consider such processes where temperature, snow topography, wind speed and snow depth are conducive either to thermal convection or windpumping and where in-pack gas concentration gradients cannot be unequivocally assigned to transport by diffusion. Controls on the transport of snow can thus vary spatially and temporally. When this variability is superimposed on the changes in CO₂ production in the soil during the cold season (Sommerfeld *et al.*, 1996) and the episodic release of CO₂ at the soil–snow interface by physical processes (Zimov *et al.*, 1993), the determination of CO₂ emissions becomes extremely difficult. Consequently, we cannot estimate at this particular time the extent to which the phenomenon of transport of CO₂ through snow by forced convection could affect global CO₂ emissions in cold regions.

CONCLUSION

The presence of CO₂-rich pockets of air in the upper strata of snow relative to the snow–soil interface and atmosphere constitutes evidence for the unsteady, non-diffusive transport of CO₂ through exposed Arctic snow covers. In the absence of thermal convection, the concentration patterns are indicative of transport of CO₂ by forced convection (topographic windpumping) within the snow cover. These results show that one cannot assume that only diffusive processes are responsible for gaseous concentrations in snow cover and flux to the atmosphere. Attempts to calculate gaseous fluxes between the soil and the atmosphere using Fick's law in the absence of concentration gradients that cannot be unequivocally assigned to diffusion processes, will give rise to errors in the compilation of CO₂ budgets for arctic winter conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the logistical and financial assistance of the Aurora Institute, Inuvik Research Laboratory; Polar Continental Shelf Project, Natural Resources Canada; Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; NATO Collaborative Grants Programme; Canadian GEWEX Programme and the National Hydrology Research Institute, Environment Canada. Superb field and scientific support was provided by Mr Cuyler Onclin in extremely adverse conditions.

REFERENCES

- Albert MR, Hardy JP. 1995. Ventilation experiments in seasonal snow cover. In *Biogeochemistry of seasonally snow-covered catchments*, Tonnessen KA, Williams MW, Tranter M (eds); IAHS Publication **238**; 41–50.
- Albert MR, Arons EM, Davis RE. 1996. Firn properties affecting gas exchange at Summit, Greenland: ventilation possibilities. In *Chemical Exchange between the Atmosphere and Polar Snow*, Wolff EW, Bales RC (eds); Vol. 43, NATO ASI Series I. Springer-Verlag: Berlin; 561–565.
- Benson CS, Sturm M. 1993. Structure and wind transport of seasonal snow on the Arctic slope of Alaska. *Annals of Glaciology*, **18**: 261–267.
- Brandt RE, Warren SG. 1993. Solar-heating rates and temperature profiles in Antarctic snow and ice. *Journal of Glaciology*, **39**: 99–110.
- Clarke GKC, Waddington ED. 1991. A three-dimensional theory of wind pumping. *Journal of Glaciology*, **37**: 89–96.
- Clarke GKC, Fisher DA, Waddington ED. 1987. Wind pumping: a potentially significant heat source in ice sheets. In *The Physical Basis of Ice Sheet Modelling*, IAHS Publ. No. 170; 169–180.
- Colbeck SC. 1989. Air movement of snow due to windpumping. *Journal of Glaciology*, **35**: 209–213.
- Colbeck SC, Akitaya E, Armstrong R, Gubler H, Lafeuille J, Lied K, McClung D, Morris E. 1990. *The International Classification for Seasonal Snow on the Ground*. International Association of Hydrological Sciences, International Commission on Snow and Ice: Wallingford, UK.
- Coyne PI, Kelley JJ. 1971. The release of carbon dioxide from frozen soil to the Arctic atmosphere. *Nature*, **234**: 407–408.
- Coyne PI, Kelley JJ. 1974. Carbon dioxide across an Arctic snowpack in spring. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **79**: 799–802.
- Cunningham J, Waddington ED. 1993. Air flow and dry deposition of non-sea salt sulfate in polar firn: paleoclimate implications. *Atmospheric Environment*, **27A**: 2943–2956.
- Delmas V, Jones HG, Tranter M, Delmas R. 1996. The chemical weathering of dusts in alpine snows. *Atmospheric Environment*, **30**: 1317–1325.
- Essery R, Li L, Pomeroy JW. 1999. Blowing snow fluxes over complex terrain. *Hydrological Processes*, **13**: 2423–2438.
- Fahnestock JT, Jones MH, Brooks PD, Walker DA, Welker JM. 1998. Winter and early spring CO₂ efflux from tundra communities of northern Alaska. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **103**: 29 023–29 027.
- Hardy JP, Davis RE, Winston GC. 1995. Evolution of factors affecting gas transmissivity of snow in the boreal forest. In *Biogeochemistry of seasonally snow-covered catchments*, Tonnessen KA, Williams MW, Tranter M (eds); IAHS Publication **238**; 51–60.
- Jones HG. 1991. Snow chemistry and biological activity: a particular perspective on nutrient cycling. In *Seasonal Snowpacks: Processes for Compositional Change*, Davies TD, Tranter M, Jones HG (eds); Vol. 28, NATO ASI Series G Ecological Sciences. Springer-Verlag; 173–228.
- Jones HG, van Bochove E, Bertrand N. 1999b. The transmission of soil gases through snow cover: an experiment to determine the diffusion of N₂O in snow in situ. In *Interactions between the cryosphere, climate and greenhouse gases*. Proceedings of the IUGG Symposium, HS2, Birmingham, July 1999. IAHS Publication **256**: 237–244.
- Jones MH, Fahnestock JT, Walker DA, Walker MD, Welker JM. 1998. Carbon dioxide fluxes in moist and dry Arctic tundra during the snow-free season: response to increases in summer temperature and winter snow accumulation. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, **30**: 373–380.
- Jones MH, Fahnestock JT, Welker JM. 1999a. Early and late winter CO₂ efflux from Arctic tundra in the Kuparuk River Watershed, Alaska, USA. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research*, **31**: 187–190.
- Marsh P, Pomeroy JW. 1996. Meltwater fluxes at an arctic forest–tundra site. *Hydrological Processes*, **10**: 1383–1400.
- Massman W, Sommerfeld R, Zeller K, Hehn T, Hudnell L, Rochelle S. 1995. CO₂ flux through a Wyoming seasonal snowpack: diffusional and pressure pumping effects. In *Biogeochemistry of seasonally snow-covered catchments*, Tonnessen KA, Williams MW, Tranter M (eds); IAHS Publication **238**; 71–80.
- Massman W, Sommerfeld R, Mosier AR, Zeller K, Hehn T, Rochelle S. 1997. A model investigation of turbulence-driven pressure-pumping effects on the rate of diffusion of CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ through layered snowpacks. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**: 18 851–18 863.
- Mast MA, Kimberly PW, Striegl RT, Clow DW. 1998. Winter fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ from subalpine soils in Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **12**: 607–620.
- Oechel WC, Vourlitis G, Hastings SJ. 1997. Cold season CO₂ emission from arctic soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **11**: 163–172.
- Perla R. 1982. Preparation of section planes in snow specimens. *Journal of Glaciology*, **28**: 199–204.
- Pomeroy JW, Gray DM. 1995. *Snow Accumulation, Relocation and Management*. National Hydrology Research Institute Science Report No. 7. Ministry of Environment: Saskatoon, 144 pp.

- Pomeroy JW, Marsh P. 1997. The application of remote sensing and a blowing snow model to determine snow water equivalent over northern basins. In *Applications of Remote Sensing in Hydrology*, Kite GW, Pietroniro A, Pultz TJ (eds); NHRI Symposium No. 17. National Hydrology Research Institute: Saskatoon; 253–270.
- Pomeroy JW, Marsh P, Lesack L. 1993. Relocation of major ions in snow along the Tundra-Taiga ecotone. *Nordic Hydrology*, **24**: 151–168.
- Pomeroy JW, Gray DM, Shook KR, Toth B, Essery RLH, Pietroniro A, Hedstrom N. 1998. An evaluation of snow accumulation and ablation processes for land surface modelling. *Hydrological Processes*, **12**: 2339–2367.
- Quinton WL, Marsh P. 1998. The influence of mineral earth hummocks on subsurface drainage in the continuous permafrost zone. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, **9**: 213–228.
- Powers DJ, O'Neill K, Colbeck SC. 1985. Theory of natural convection in snow. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **90**: 10 641–10 649.
- Scott MG, Larson DW. 1985. The effect of winter field conditions on the distribution of two species of *Umbilicaria* I: Carbon dioxide exchange in reciprocally transplanted thalli. *New Phytology*, **101**: 89–102.
- Solomon DK, Cerling TE. 1987. The annual carbon dioxide cycle in a Montane soil: observations, modeling and implications for weathering. *Water Resources Research*, **23**: 2257–2265.
- Sommerfeld RA, Musselman RC, Reuss JO. 1991. Preliminary measurements of CO₂ in melting snow. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **18**: 1225–1228.
- Sommerfeld RA, Mosier AR, Musselman RC. 1993. CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O flux through a Wyoming snowpack and implications for global budgets. *Nature*, **361**: 140–142.
- Sommerfeld RA, Massman WJ, Musselman RC, Mosier AR. 1996. Diffusional flux of CO₂ through snow: spatial and temporal variability among alpine–subalpine sites. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **10**: 473–482.
- Stein J, Amundsen CC. 1967. Studies on snow algae and snow fungi from the Front Range of Colorado. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **45**: 2033–2045.
- Sturm M. 1991. *The role of thermal convection in the heat and mass transport in the Subarctic snow cover*. US Army Corps of Engineers; Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL); Hanover, NH; Report 91-19.
- Sturm M, Johnson JB. 1991. Natural convection in the Subarctic snow cover. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **96**: 11 657–11 671.
- Van Bochove E, Jones HG, Pelletier F, Prevost D. 1996. Emission of N₂O from agricultural soil under snow cover: a significant part of the N budget. *Hydrological Processes*, **10**: 1545–1549.
- Waddington ED, Cunningham J, Harder SL. 1996. The effects of snow ventilation on chemical concentrations. In *Chemical Exchange between the Atmosphere and Polar Snow*, Wolff EW, Bales RC (eds); Vol. 43, NATO ASI Series I. Springer-Verlag: Berlin; 403–451.
- Winston GC, Stephens BB, Sunquist ET, Hardy JP, Davis RE. 1995. Seasonal variability in CO₂ transport through snow in a boreal forest. In *Biogeochemistry of Seasonally Snow-covered Catchments*, Tonnessen KA, Williams MW, Tranter M (eds); IAHS Publication **238**: 61–70.
- Winston GC, Sundquist ET, Stephens BB, Trumbore SE. 1997. Winter CO₂ fluxes in a boreal forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**: 28 795–28 804.
- Zimov SA, Zimova GM, Daviodov SP, Daviodova AI, Voropaev YV, Voropaeva ZV, Prosiannikov SF, Prosiannikova OV, Semiletova IV, Semiletov IP. 1993. Winter biotic activity and production of CO₂ in Siberian soils: a factor in the Greenhouse Effect. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **98**: 5017–5023.