Responses of the Proteome and Metabolome in Livers of Zebrafish Exposed Chronically to Environmentally Relevant Concentrations of Microcystin-LR
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ABSTRACT: In this study, for the first time, changes in expressions of proteins and profiles of metabolites in liver of the small, freshwater fish Danio rerio (zebrafish) were investigated after long-term exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of microcystin-LR (MC-LR). Male zebrafish were exposed via water to 1 or 10 μg MC-LR/L for 90 days, and iTRAQ-based proteomics and 1H NMR-based metabolomics were employed. Histopathological observations showed that MC-LR caused damage to liver, and the effects were more pronounced in fish exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L. Metabolomic analysis also showed alterations of hepatic function, which included changes in a number of metabolic pathways, including small molecules involved in energy, glucose, lipids, and amino acids metabolism. Concentrations of lactate were significantly greater in individuals exposed to MC-LR than in unexposed controls. This indicated a shift toward anaerobic metabolism, which was confirmed by impaired respiration in mitochondria. Proteomics revealed that MC-LR significantly influenced multiple proteins, including those involved in folding of proteins and metabolism. Endoplasmic reticulum stress contributed to disturbance of metabolism of lipids in liver of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR. Identification of proteins and metabolites in liver of zebrafish responsive to MC-LR provides insights into mechanisms of chronic toxicity of MCs.

INTRODUCTION

Due to a combination of factors including eutrophication and warming, blooms of cyanobacteria and associated releases of cyanotoxins as extracellular products, are increasing worldwide. Microcystins (MCs), a group of monocyclic heptapeptides (molecular weight about 1000 Da), are the most frequently reported cyanobacterial toxins and are regarded as a major hazard to health of humans and present challenges to quality of drinking water. More than 100 MCs have been identified. Although variations have been reported among all of the amino acids, substitutions of the variable L-amino acids X and Z in positions two and four are most prevalent. MC-LR is one of the most common and potent variants, which is why it has been the most widely studied. In most cases, concentrations of dissolved MCs in water are relatively small, within a range of 0.1–10 μg/L, whereas cell-bound concentrations are several orders of magnitude greater. MCs are of concern due to their adverse effects, such as hepatotoxicity, carcinogenicity, reproductive toxicity, neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, and endocrine-disrupting effects. Exposure of humans to MCs can occur via ingestion of contaminated drinking water and/or consumption of cultivated plants, aquatic products including fish and blue-green algae supplements (BGAS). In 1998, the World Health Organization (WHO) established a provisional guideline value of 1 μg MC-LR/L in drinking water. In 2010, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified MC-LR as being “possibly carcinogenic to humans” (Group 2B carcinogen). Compared to terrestrial organisms, aquatic organisms (including fishes) are more frequently exposed to MCs. Since MCs are released from cyanobacterial cells into waters, the first...
contact point for MCs would be through the aquatic environment.\textsuperscript{18} Most aquatic organisms can come into contact with MCs, or with cyanobacterial cells, with subsequent accumulation of MCs in the aquatic food web.\textsuperscript{19−22} Similar to mammals, results of both field and laboratory studies have demonstrated that the liver is the primary target organ for MCs and studies on various fishes have shown that MCs accumulate mainly in liver, but also in gill, brain, intestine, and viscera.\textsuperscript{18,21,23} MCs can affect biochemical, histopathological, and behavioral patterns, osmoregulation, and growth, development, and reproduction.\textsuperscript{23}

Magnitudes of toxic effects, induced by MCs, depend on route and magnitude of exposure to the toxin. Primary routes of exposure used to assess effects of MCs on fish include intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection, oral gavage, dietary and immersion in water containing purified MCs, lysates, crude extracts or whole cells of cyanobacteria.\textsuperscript{23} Several studies of MCs have used i.p. injection or oral exposure, but few studies have studied effects of water-borne exposures of fishes.\textsuperscript{8,24,25} MCs are less potent when exposed to contaminated water than when they are injected intraperitoneally (i.p.).\textsuperscript{23,26} Therefore, to obtain information that is more appropriate for predicting potential for adverse effects under field conditions, waterborne exposure is more relevant. Most studies of MCs, results of which are in the literature, focused on acute and subchronic toxicities of MCs, while fewer efforts have been devoted to explore chronic effects of MCs.\textsuperscript{22,27−30} In aquatic ecosystems, chronic exposure to MCs is the main pathway of exposure of aquatic organisms to MCs. Concentrations of MCs are often a few μg/L in waters of eutrophic lakes around the world. Also, due to chemical stabilities and resistances of MCs to breakdown, organisms can be exposed to lesser concentrations of MCs, but for longer periods following lyses of the bloom. Therefore, in order to make realistic evaluations of toxicity of MCs to fish, longer-chronic exposure by immersion needed to be studied.\textsuperscript{18,22}

Zebrafish (Danio rerio), a small, tropical, freshwater fish, represents an important vertebrate model organism that is widely used in toxicological research because they are small, available in large numbers, and easily maintained under laboratory conditions at small husbandry costs. With the emergence of systems biology, the “omic” approaches, such as transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics, are capable of discovering broader ranges of biomarkers at molecular levels and are increasingly being employed to investigate mechanisms of toxic action of contaminants. Use of zebrafish is effective since the genome of this species is known and annotated.\textsuperscript{31}

To our knowledge, previously there have been no studies combining proteomics and metabolomics for study of effects of MCs on any aquatic organism or investigations of toxicological effects of chronic exposure of aquatic organisms to MCs. In the study, results of which are presented here, a complementary pathological, proteomic and metabolomic approach was used to elucidate responses of zebrafish to environmentally relevant concentrations of MC-LR. To further investigate some of the molecular pathways modulated by MCs, expressions of mRNA for selected genes, identified by use of the omics approaches, were measured by use of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions (qRT-PCR).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Zebrafish and Exposure to MC-LR.** Healthy, adult, male zebrafish (AB strain), about 3 months old, were obtained from the China Zebrafish Resource Center, Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Zebrafish were randomly divided into 20 L glass tanks containing 12 L charcoal-filtered tap water (20 fish per tank) and acclimated for 2 weeks prior to exposure to MCs. Temperature was maintained at 28 ± 0.5 °C with a 12:12 light/dark cycle and zebrafish were fed with freshly hatched brine shrimp twice daily and flake food once daily. Purified MC-LR (purity ≥95%) was purchased from Taiwan Algal Science Inc. (China). After acclimation, three replicate tanks were randomly assigned to each exposure group, and zebrafish were exposed to 0, 1, or 10 μg/L for 90 days. The range of exposure concentrations was based on previous studies.\textsuperscript{22,32,33} One-third of water of the appropriate concentration of MC-LR was replaced by fresh water every 3 days. After 90 days of exposure, zebrafish were anesthetized in ice-cold water and livers were collected. One portion was placed in Bouin’s solution or 2.5% glutaraldehyde, and the remaining livers were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until analysis. All procedures carried out on fish were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), and were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory.

**ELISA Detection of Concentrations of MC-LR in Water.** Before and during the exposure, concentrations of MC-LR were monitored after replenishment of water. A sample of 1 mL of water was collected from each tank and stored at −20 °C until analysis. Concentrations of MC-LR were determined by using the commercially available microcystin plate kit (Beacon Analytical Systems, Inc., Saco) with comparison to external standards. The minimum detection limit (MDL) for MCs is 0.1 μg/L.

**Light and Electron Microscopy.** Histopathological analyses were conducted by use of previously described methods.\textsuperscript{34} Briefly, for light microscopy, livers were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution and embedded in paraaffin and sections were sectioned on a microtome. The 5 μm thick liver sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Histological observation was performed using light microscopy.

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), liver tissue was diced into 1 mm3, prefixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution and fixed in 1% aqueous osmium tetroxide. The specimens were then embedded in Epon 812. Ultrathin sections were sliced with glass knives on a LKB-V ultramicrotome (Nova, Sweden), stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined by Tecnai G2 20 TWIN (FEI).

**iTRAQ-Based Quantitative Proteomic Analysis.** Quantitative proteomic analysis was performed according to the methodology described in previous studies,\textsuperscript{25,35,36} with some modifications, of which details are given in the Supporting Information (SI). Briefly, livers from 5 individuals of each tank were pooled as one replicate (n = 2), and proteins were extracted by use of trichloroacetic acid/acetone. Concentrations of protein in extracts were determined by use of a 2-D Quant kit (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA). Subsequently, 100 μg protein for each sample was reduced with dithiothreitol, alkylated with iodoacetamide, digested with sequence-grade modified trypsin, and labeled with iTRAQ (isobaric tag for relative and absolute quantitation) reagents (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA). Pooled peptides were cleaned-up, desalted, and then separated using high pH reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an Agilent 300 Extend C18 column (Santa Clara, CA). Fractionated samples were analyzed by use of the omics approaches, such as transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics, for study of effects of MCs on any aquatic organism or investigations of toxicological effects of chronic exposure of aquatic organisms to MCs. In the study, results of which are presented here, a complementary pathological, proteomic and metabolomic approach was used to elucidate responses of zebrafish to environmentally relevant concentrations of MC-LR. To further investigate some of the molecular pathways modulated by MCs, expressions of mRNA for selected genes, identified by use of the omics approaches, were measured by use of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions (qRT-PCR).
by use of a Q Exactive Plus hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Proteins with \( p < 0.05 \) and fold difference >1.2 or <0.83 were considered differentially expressed. Functions of differentially expressed proteins were were determined by use of Gene Ontology (GO) annotation, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis, and functional enrichment analysis. The GO classification was done using UniProt-GOA database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/GOA/) and InterProScan soft. Wolfpsort was used to predict subcellular localization of the proteins.

For quality control purposes, mass errors and lengths of all peptides were analyzed. The distribution of mass error was near zero and mostly less than 0.02 Da (SI Figure S1A), which means the mass accuracy of the MS data was sufficient. Also, lengths of most peptides were distributed between 8 and 16 (SI Figure S1B), which agree with properties of tryptic peptides, so that the results met the standard.

**HR-MAS \(^1\)H NMR-Based Metabolomic Analysis.** Metabolites in liver were analyzed by use of a Bruke Avance 600 NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Germany) equipped with a triple-field resonance \((\textsuperscript{1}H/\textsuperscript{13}C/\textsuperscript{31}P)\) high resolution magic-angle-spinning (HR-MAS) probe as described previously, with some modifications. More details about metabolomic analysis are given in the SI. Briefly, tissue samples were analyzed by HR-MAS \(^1\)H NMR using a water-suppressed one-dimensional Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) spin–echo pulse sequence (recycle delay \(-90^\circ\cdot(\tau\cdot180^\circ\cdot\tau\cdot)^n\cdot\text{acquisition} \)), with one liver as one replicate (\( n = 6 \)). Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were Fourier transformed and corrected for phase and baseline shifts by use of MestReNova (version 7.0, Mestrelab Research, Spain). All spectra were referenced to the internal lactate \( \text{CH}_3 \) resonance at 1.33 ppm. Multivariate statistical analyses, including unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA), and supervised partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) and orthogonal projection to latent structure with discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) methods were performed by SIMCA-P+ (V11.0, Umetrics, Umea, Sweden). Correlation coefficients \((|r|) > 0.755, \) which was determined according to the test for the significance of the Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient, were considered statistically significant based on the discrimination significance at the level of \( p < 0.05 \) and degrees of freedom =5.

**Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR).** Five livers from each tank were pooled together as one replicate (\( n = 3 \)). Isolation, purification, and quantification of total RNA were performed by use of previously described methods. First-strand cDNA synthesis was carried out with random hexamers and oligo-dT primers. Sequences of primers used in qRT-PCR were designed with Primer Premier 5.0 (Premier, Canada) (SI Table S1). Housekeeping genes, gapdh and 18S rRNA were

---

**Figure 1.** Micro- and ultrastructural changes in liver of zebrafish exposed to 1 or 10 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L for 90 days. (A) (D) Control group. (B) (E) 1 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing swollen hepatocytes (black arrowhead), and cell vacuolar degeneration (white arrowhead). (C) (F) 10 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing swollen hepatocytes (black arrowhead), and cell vacuolar degeneration (white arrowhead). (G) Control group. (H) 1 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing cell shrinkage (white star), and dilation of rough endoplasmic reticulum (black circle). (I) 1 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing swollen mitochondria (black arrow). (J) 10 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing vacuoles (white arrow), and swollen mitochondria (black arrow). (K) 10 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing hyperplasia of smooth endoplasmic reticulum associated with mild expansion (black box), and lysosomes (gray arrow). (L) 10 \( \mu \)g MC-LR/L, showing autophagic vacuole (white arrowhead). (A) (B) (C), bar = 25 \( \mu \)m, (D) (E) (F), bar = 10 \( \mu \)m, (G) (H) (I) (J) (K) (L), bar = 1 \( \mu \)m.
Table 1. Differentially Expressed Proteins (with Functional Annotation) In Liver of Zebrafish Exposed to MC-LR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>protein accession</th>
<th>protein description</th>
<th>fold change</th>
<th>function category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amino Acid Metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q8E1</td>
<td>4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8T7G5</td>
<td>4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q7M4</td>
<td>methylisothiouribulose-1-phosphate dehydratase</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.01**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1RT0</td>
<td>S-adenosylmethionine synthase</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.01**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protein Metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8E526</td>
<td>Rpl14 protein</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62084</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S7</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PL3</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L19</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.40</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PBV6</td>
<td>H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex subunit 2-like protein</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.09*</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q5S9</td>
<td>probable signal peptidase complex subunit 2</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6MN21</td>
<td>signal peptidase complex subunit 3 homologue (S. cerevisiae)</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7T2E1</td>
<td>SEC13 homologue (S. cerevisiae)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lipid Metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3QK15</td>
<td>acetoacetyl-CoA synthetase</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.66</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 V8S5</td>
<td>Abcd7 protein</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.19*</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8EG2</td>
<td>Erlin-1</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6DRN8</td>
<td>Cdp1p protein</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7T2J4</td>
<td>alcohol dehydrogenase 8b</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9BIL5</td>
<td>fatty acid-binding protein 10-A, liver basic</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O42364</td>
<td>apolipoprotein Eb</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.01**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitochondrial Energy Metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6AZA2</td>
<td>NADH dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) flavoprotein 1</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6Q2M2</td>
<td>cytochrome c</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9MIY3</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2BDH8</td>
<td>beta-hexosaminidase</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8WSB8</td>
<td>phosphorylase</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6E71</td>
<td>cytidine monophosphate sialic acid synthetase 1</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.07*</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6CZS3</td>
<td>uridine phosphorylase</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7Z6N6</td>
<td>phosphoribosylaminomimidazole carboxylase, phosphoribosylaminomimidazole succinocarboxamide synthetase</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.02**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8UVG6</td>
<td>cellular retinol-binding protein type II</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chromatin Assembly and Modification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7F4R3</td>
<td>histone deacetylase 8</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1K29</td>
<td>histone H3</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1LR9</td>
<td>histone H2A</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.07*</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6NUN3</td>
<td>acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family member E</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signal Transduction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q7T9</td>
<td>calcium unipporter protein, mitochondrial</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1JW8</td>
<td>phosphoinositide phospholipase C</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2L6L1</td>
<td>protein canopy-1</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8O3G3</td>
<td>serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2A catalytic subunit</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immune Response</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1IH18</td>
<td>interleukin 1</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.03**</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.02**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24JW2</td>
<td>lysozyme</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.08*</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6F6U1</td>
<td>CD81 antigen</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7ZVM6</td>
<td>cytotoxic granule-associated RNA binding protein 1, like</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PHG2</td>
<td>hemopoetin</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response to Stress</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QW4</td>
<td>hypoxia up-regulated protein 1</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q90486</td>
<td>hemoglobin subunit beta-1</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9QH31</td>
<td>aldehyde dehydrogenase</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QUR3</td>
<td>protein disulfide-isomerase (Fragment)</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.10*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stable and unaffected by exposure to MC-LR. Therefore, they were used as the endogenous assay control. Geometric means of expression level of *gapdh* and 18S rRNA were used to normalize qRT-PCR data.39

**Statistical Analyses.** Statistical analyses of the data were performed using SPSS package16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All values were presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and Levene’s test were employed to check normality and homogeneity of variances in the data, respectively. If necessary, data were log-transformed to approximate normality. Nonparametric analysis was conducted if data could not meet the normality even after transformation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s multiple comparison tests were applied to determine statistical differences between data of the control and MC-LR treatment groups. Significant differences were set at the $p < 0.05$ (*) and $p < 0.01$ (**) levels.

### Table 1. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>protein accession</th>
<th>protein description</th>
<th>$1 \mu g/L$</th>
<th>$10 \mu g/L$</th>
<th>function category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q6NYZ0</td>
<td>Dnajb11 protein</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.03**</td>
<td>protein folding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PE26</td>
<td>Calr protein</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.05**</td>
<td>protein folding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7ZZA3</td>
<td>peptidyl-prolyl cis—trans isomerase</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.10</td>
<td>protein folding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4YIA7</td>
<td>calumenin-A</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>protein folding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7SZF6</td>
<td>cysteine-rich with EGF-like domain protein 2</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.40</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.03**</td>
<td>calcium ion binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7ZT36</td>
<td>parvalbumin 3</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.27</td>
<td>calcium ion binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9Q96</td>
<td>selenium-binding protein 1</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>selenium binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6DGU5</td>
<td>solute carrier family 25 member 46</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.01</td>
<td>mitochondrial membrane fission; transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q8T98</td>
<td>reticulin</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>membrane morphogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5V5G0</td>
<td>progesterone receptor membrane component 1</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.07**</td>
<td>progesterone receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q64HD0</td>
<td>sex hormone binding globulin</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.08</td>
<td>sex hormone transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q8A6</td>
<td>tetratricopeptide repeat protein 38</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6AW82</td>
<td>novel protein similar to human proliferation-associated 2G4 protein (PA2G4)</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.03</td>
<td>growth regulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“More information on proteins that were up- or down-regulated are listed in SI Table S2. The fold changes are indicated as compared to the controls. Values >1 indicate up-regulation, and values <1 indicate down-regulation. Proteins with fold difference >1.2 or <0.83 and $p < 0.05$ were considered significantly altered, which are indicated with * ($p < 0.05$) or ** ($p < 0.01$).
Figure 3. Typical $^1$H HR-MAS CPMG NMR spectra ($\delta$0.5–6.0 and $\delta$6.0–9.5) of liver of zebra fish exposed to MC-LR. The region of $\delta$6.0–9.5 (in the dashed box) in the aquatic phase was magnified 10 times compared with corresponding region of $\delta$0.5–6.0 for the purpose of clarity. Keys: 3-HB: 3-hydroxybutyrate; Ace: acetate; Adi: adipate; Ala: alanine; Asp: aspartate; Cho: choline; DMA: dimethylamine; EA: ethanolamine; Eth: ethanol; Fum: fumarate; G: glycero; Glc: glucose; Glg: glycogen; Glu: glutamate; Gly: glycine; GPC: glycerolphosphocholine; GSH: glutathione; IB: isobutyrate; Ile: isoleucine; Ino: inosine; L1: LDL&VLDL, CH$_3$-(CH$_2$)$_n$-; L2: LDL&VLDL, CH$_3$-(CH$_2$)$_n$-; L3: VLDL, –CH$_2$–CH$_2$–C=O; L4: Lipid, –CH$_2$–CH=CH$_2$; L5: Lipid, –CH$_3$–C=O; L6: Lipid, CH=CH$_2$–CH=CH$_2$–; L7: lipid, –CH=CH$_2$; Lac: lactate; Leu: leucine; Lys: lysine; m-I: myo-inositol; M: malonate; Met: methionine; Mol: methanol; NA: nicotinamide; PCr: phosphocreatine; Phe: phenylalanine; Py: pyruvate; Sar: sarcosine; Suc: succinate; Thr: threonine; TMA: trimethylamine; TMAO: trimethylamine N-oxide; Trp: tryptophan; Tyr: tyrosine; U: unknown; Val: valine.

Figure 4. OPLS-DA score plots (left panel) derived from $^1$H CPMG NMR spectra of liver of zebra fish exposed to MC-LR and corresponding coefficient loading plots (right panel) obtained from different groups. The color map shows the significance of variation among metabolites between the two classes. Peaks in the positive direction (up) indicate metabolites that are more abundant in the MC-LR treatment groups than in the control group. Consequently, metabolites that are more abundant in the control group are presented as peaks in the negative direction (down). Keys of the assignments were shown in Figure 3.
Table 2. OPLS-DA Coefficients Derived from NMR Data for Metabolites in Liver of Zebrafish Exposed to MC-LR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>metabolites</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-HB: 3-hydroxybutyrate: 1.21(d$^3$)</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ace: acetate: 1.92(s)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adi: adipate: 1.56(m), 2.18(m)</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala: alanine: 1.48(d)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp: aspartate: 2.67(dd), 2.81(dd), 3.95(dd)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA: dimethylamine: 2.72(d)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA: ethanolamine: 3.13(t), 3.87(t)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eth: ethanol: 1.19(t)</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G: glyceroil: 3.58(m), 3.68(m)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glg: glycogen: 3.97(m), 5.42(m)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln: glutamine: 2.12(m), 2.45(m), 3.78(t)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu: glutamate: 2.07(m), 2.12(m), 2.35(m), 3.78(t)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly: glycine: 3.56(s)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPC: glycerolphosphocholine: 3.32(m), 4.33(m)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH: glutathione: 2.56(m), 2.95(m)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB: isoleucine: 1.09(d)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile: isoleucine: 0.94(t), 1.01(d)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1: LDVL: CH$_3$:CH$_3$:CH$_3$: 0.90(br)</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2: LDVL: CH$_3$:CH$_3$:CH$_3$: 1.30(br)</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3: VLDL: -CH$_3$:CH$_3$-C=O: 1.59(br)</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4: Lipid, -CH$_3$:CH=CH$_2$: 2.02(br)</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5: Lipid, -CH$_3$:CH=CH$_2$:C=O: 2.26(br)</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation coefficients, positive and negative signs indicate positive and negative correlation in the concentrations, respectively. The correlation coefficient of lrl> 0.755 was used as the cutoff value for the statistical significance based on the discrimination significance at the level of $p = 0.05$ and $df$ (degree of freedom) = 5. ‘ ‘ means the correlation coefficient lrl is less than 0.755. * Multiplicity: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; dd, doublet of doublets; m, multiplet; br, broad resonance.*

### RESULTS

**Actual Concentrations of MC-LR in Water.** Measured concentrations of MC-LR in water were 0.82 ± 0.08 (from 0.69 to 1.02), 8.45 ± 0.71 (from 7.34 to 9.83) μg/L, respectively, for the nominal 1 and 10 μg/L MC-LR groups (SI Figure S2). No MC-LR was detected in control water.

**Light Microscopy and Ultrastructural Observations.** No histological changes were observed in livers of fish from the control group (Figure 1A and D). However, in livers of fish exposed to 1 μg MC-LR/L (Figure 1B and E), cord-like parenchymal architecture of liver was lost and some cyttoplasmic inclusions were no longer observable. Swelling of hepatic cells and hepatocellular vacular degeneration were observed. Hepatic lesions were more severe in fish exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L (Figure 1C and F) and cells were nearly collapsed. Similarly, observations of ultrastructure also showed that MC-LR caused histological damage to the liver. Liver cells showed cyttoplasmic shrinkage, dilation of rough endoplasmic reticulum and swollen mitochondria occurred in livers of fish exposed to 1 μg MC-LR/L (Figure 1H and I). In livers of fish exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L (Figure 1J, K and L), some vacuoles, swollen mitochondria and hyperplasia of smooth endoplasmic reticulum associated with mild expansion were also observed. Numerous lysosomes were present in the cytoplasm, and autophagic vacuoles were also detected.

**Proteome Analysis.** In total, 2,761 proteins were identified of which 1,966 proteins could be quantified by use of iTRAQ labeling and HPLC fractionation followed by LC-MS/MS analysis. Among those quantified, 37 and 81 proteins were up- and down-regulated by exposure to 1 or 10 μg MC-LR/L, respectively (SI Table S2). All annotated proteins that were up- or down-regulated are listed (Table 1), including proteins involved in mitochondrial energy metabolism (NADH dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) flavoprotein 1, cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2), lipid metabolism (acetoacetyl-CoA synthetase, apolipoprotein Eb), and endoplasmic reticulum stress (Calumenin-A, Dnajb11 protein), etc. Full details of the altered proteins are given (SI Table S3). Differentially expressed proteins were classified into various categories (Figure 2). When these regulated proteins were classified according to biological process, regulated proteins were mostly involved in cellular (22%), metabolic (20%), or single-organism process (18%) (Figure 2A). Affected proteins covered a wide range of molecular functions, mainly including catalytic activity (30%) and binding (45%) (Figure 2B). Based on classification by cellular components, many proteins were in organelles (20%) or cells (27%) (Figure 2C). Most proteins were located in the cytosol (38%), extracellular region (21%), nucleus (9%), mitochondria (9%), and plasma membrane (9%) (Figure 2D).

**Metabolome Analysis.** Typical 1H NMR spectra of livers of zebrafish exposed to 0, 1, or 10 μg MC-LR/L are presented (Figure 3). PCA was performed to provide an overview of 1H CPMG NMR data from all samples. PCA score plots (SI Figure S3) demonstrated some separation between control and MC-treated groups. Separation between controls and those exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L was especially obvious. PLS-DA was further applied to achieve separation profiles of the various treatments through maximizing intergroup variance. Results from both permutation tests and cross validation parameter Q$^2$ suggested that models constructed from spectral data of zebrafish liver were valid and strong (Figure S4). In order to understand...
MC-LR/L for 90 days. (A) genes involved in glycolysis (pfk1b, pkdr), tricarboxylic acid cycle (pdha1a, sdha), electron transport chain (nd1, coxl), and oxidative phosphorylation (atp6), (B) genes involved in protein folding (pdi, ppcti) and ERS (ern1, xbp-1s, erf2ak3, erf2sl1, atf6, atf4, hspa5, hsp90b1, chop). Quantitative real-time PCR was used to test the expression levels of target genes. Gapdh and 18S rRNA were used as internal controls. Values are presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). * indicates p < 0.05 versus control, and ** indicates p < 0.01 versus control.

Figure 5. Expression of genes involved in energy metabolism and endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS) in livers of zebrafish exposed to 1 or 10 μg MC-LR/L for 90 days. (A) genes involved in glycolysis (pfk1b, pkdr), tricarboxylic acid cycle (pdha1a, sdha), electron transport chain (nd1, coxl), and oxidative phosphorylation (atp6), (B) genes involved in protein folding (pdi, ppcti) and ERS (ern1, xbp-1s, erf2ak3, erf2sl1, atf6, atf4, hspa5, hsp90b1, chop). Quantitative real-time PCR was used to test the expression levels of target genes. Gapdh and 18S rRNA were used as internal controls. Values are presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). * indicates p < 0.05 versus control, and ** indicates p < 0.01 versus control.

Changes in transcription of genes encoding proteins important for energy metabolism from aerobic respiration to anaerobic respiration. Changes in amounts of several metabolites, related to energy transformations, such as accumulation of pyruvate, lactate, succinate, and alpha-ketoglutarate (α-KG), and the intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, were also observed. Alterations of transcripts of genes that encode proteins important for protein folding (pdi, ppcti) and ERS (ern1, xbp-1s, erf2ak3, erf2sl1, atf6, atf4, hspa5, hsp90b1) were significantly greater in fish exposed to 1 μg MC-LR/L, relative to the unexposed controls. In individuals exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L, there were greater levels of mRNA for pdh1a, pdha1a, and pdha1a, and no obvious changes for mRNA of erf2sl1 or erf2sl2.

DISCUSSION

Concentrations of MC-LR used in the study, results of which are presented here, were chosen to represent those likely to occur in aquatic environments and were less than those expected to cause overt toxicity or lethality. No lethality was observed during exposures to MC-LR, which indicated that exposures were indeed less than the threshold for overt toxic effects on general health of exposed fish. However, damage to liver was observed by use of histology. This finding potentially has implications for long-term health of fish (and other aquatic animals) which are exposed throughout their lives to environmentally relevant concentrations of MCs.

Under normal conditions, the major source of energy supply is oxidative metabolism of nutrients through aerobic respiration; while under hypoxia, the major energy supply is shifted to anaerobic respiration, which is less efficient at producing energy than is aerobic respiration. Gill tissue, which comes into direct and immediate contact with MCs in water, is vulnerable to effects of MC-LR. Because gills are respiratory organs of fish, damage to that organ would cause limitations of efficiency on exchanges of gases and ions, and result in insufficient oxygen transfer from water into the body and ultimately the bloodstream, thus producing hypoxia. Coincidentally, the proteomic analysis also showed that hemoglobin subunit beta-1 was less while hypoxia up-regulated protein 1 was greater in fish exposed to MC-LR, which suggested that exposure to MC-LR might induce hypoxia. Results of the metabolomic analysis supported these findings, with lactate being up-regulated in response to exposure to MC-LR. Lactate results from glycolysis and its increase is indicative of a shift of energy metabolism from aerobic respiration to anaerobic respiration. Changes in amounts of several metabolites, related to energy transformations, such as accumulation of pyruvate, which is an end product of glycolysis, and succinate, which is an intermediate of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, were also observed in livers of fish exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L. Expressions of NADH dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) flavoprotein 1 (NDUFV1) and cytochrome c were down-regulated (0.69, 0.70-fold, respectively) in livers of fish exposed to 10 μg MC-LR/L, whereas cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2 (COX2) was up-regulated (1.21-fold) in livers of individuals exposed to 1 μg MC-LR/L. NDUFV1, the core subunit of the mitochondrial respiratory chain NADH dehydrogenase (Complex I), is a potent source of reactive oxygen.
Cytochrome c (cyt c) is an essential component of the electron transport chain (ETC) in mitochondria and transfers electrons between Complexes III (Coenzyme Q - cyt c reductase) and IV (cyt c oxidase), the terminal enzyme of mitochondrial ETC. To further evaluate effects of MC-LR on energy metabolism, real-time PCR was used to analyze transcription of several genes involved in glycolysis (pfk1b, pklr), TCA cycle (pdha1, sdha), ETC (nd1, sdha, coxl), and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS, atp6). Expressions of mRNA for these genes were less in fish exposed to MC-LR relative to those in controls not exposed to MC-LR, which indicated that the energy generating system of zebrafish was significantly impaired. Results of previous studies have shown that MC-LR caused disorder in mitochondrial ETC and OXPHOS systems of testis of rat and liver of crucian carp. In the present study, swollen mitochondria were also observed in livers of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR. Impairment of mitochondria and depletion of energy reserves would affect abilities of organisms to repair damage to cells or proteins, due to exposure to MCs. Free amino acids can be involved in both osmotic regulation and energy metabolism. In this study, several amino acids were greater in livers of zebra sh exposed to 1 μg MC-LR/L, which confirmed altered energy metabolism.

In fish, lipids and fatty acids are preferred sources of energy, in contrast to mammals which use carbohydrates. This study, significantly greater concentrations of glycerol and lesser concentrations of L1: LDL&VLDL, CH3-(CH2)n-, L2: LDL&VLDL, CH3-(CH2)n-, L3:VLDL, exclusively CH2−CH2−C═O, L4: Lipid, −CH2−CH═CH2, L5: Lipid, −CH2−C═O, and L6: Lipid, =CH−CH2−CH═ observed in livers of fish exposed to 1 μg MC-LR/L represented the disordering of metabolism of lipids in liver. Moreover, the proteomic analysis also showed that several proteins involved in metabolism of lipids, such as Acetoacetyl-CoA synthetase, Apolipoprotein Eb, Fatty acid-binding protein 10-A, liver basic, and Acbd7 protein were up-regulated or down-regulated. These results are consistent with the reported toxicological effects of MCs on metabolism of lipids.

CDIPT (CDP-diacylglycerol-inositol 3-phosphatidyltransferase), also known as phosphatidylinositol synthase (PIS), is indispensable in synthesis of phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) from CDP-DAG and myo-inositol in endoplasmic reticulum. Phosphorylated derivatives of PtdIns, phosphoinositides (Pis), are crucial regulators of calcium homeostasis, membrane trafficking, secretory pathways, signal transduction, and lipid metabolism. In this study, CDIPT was up-regulated while targeting of proteins as well as metabolism of lipids and overall maintenance of calcium homeostasis. Previous studies demonstrated that cdipt-deficient zebrafish exhibit endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS) in liver and steatosis. A recent study showed that MC-LR altered mRNA and protein expression of ERS signaling molecules (PERK and ATF6) related to hepatic lipid metabolism abnormalities in mice.

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is one of the largest cellular organelles and is responsible for regulation of synthesis, folding and targeting of proteins as well as metabolism of lipids and overall maintenance of calcium homeostasis. In the case of an ER disturbance, whether through alteration of concentrations of calcium, oxidative stress or disruption of energy balance, all of which were observed previously due to exposure to MCs, influx of unfolded or misfolded peptides exceeded capacity of ER to fold and/or process, ER stress (ERS) would be triggered. To combat ERS, cells have evolved a highly conserved adaptive response, referred to as the unfolded protein response (UPR), by increasing production of protein chaperones needed for proper folding of proteins or if unsuccessful by degrading unfolded proteins. Formation of correct disulfide bonds between cysteine (Cys) residues and the cis—trans isomerization of peptide bonds preceding proline (Xaa-Pro bonds) are the rate-determining processes in folding of some proteins, which can be accelerated by protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) and peptide-prolyl cis—trans isomerase (PPI), respectively. However, both of these enzymes were shown to be up-regulated, suggesting that protein folding was disturbed by prolonged exposure to MC-LR. Apart from PDI and PPI, several proteins involved in ERS and UPR, including Calumenin-A, Dnajb11 protein, Calr protein, Cysteine-rich with EGF-like domain protein 2, were up-regulated. Results of real-time PCR also showed that transcription of genes involved in protein folding (pdi, ppct) and endoplasmic reticulum stress (ern1, xhp-1s, eif2ak3, atf6, atf4, hspa5, hsp90b1) were greater in individuals exposed to MC-LR, relative to those in unexposed control individuals. The results of the study presented here revealed that ERS plays a role in disruption of metabolism of lipids in livers of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR. Results of previous studies have shown that exposure to MC-LR induced ERS and UPR in liver of zebrafish and mice, and human hepatoma HepG2 and HuH7 cells.

The organic osmolytes, myo-inositol, trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), and glycophosphocholine (GPC) were significantly greater in livers of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR. Severe histopathological change (cell shrinkage) in livers of fish exposed to MC-LR, was indicative of disrupted osmotic balance. During cell shrinkage, cells accumulate osmolytes to adapt to changes in cell volume, but this process is metabolically expensive. Thus, increases of myo-inositol, TMAO and glycophosphocholine might be an attempt of fish to maintain intracellular homeostasis.

S-adenosylmethionine synthase (methionine adenosyltransferase, MAT), the unique enzyme responsible for synthesis of S-adenosylmethionine (SAMe) from methionine and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), was significantly down-regulated in livers of fish exposed to MC-LR, whereas the concentration of methionine was greater. SAMe is the principal biological donor of methane and plays a critical role in maintaining normal hepatic function. It can also regulate glutathione (GSH) levels through the trans-sulfuration pathway. Results of our previous studies also demonstrated that concentrations of both MAT1a and GSH were significantly less in livers of rats exposed to MC-LR, which resulted in a decoupling of the detoxification system and making cells more susceptible to oxidative stress. However, concentrations of GSH were greater in livers of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR, which can explain the greater tolerances of fish to MCs than mammals. MCs have been previously shown to bind to cysteine (Cys) residues of proteins in liver and it is likely that induction of protein disulfide...
isomerase (PDI) is involved in cellular detoxification to formation of MC-Cys conjugation with proteins.\textsuperscript{65}

Given the fact that osmotic regulation, unfolded protein response, GSH synthesis, and excretion of MCs are energy-dependent, accumulation of osmolytes and detoxification might aggravate energy deficiency induced by MCs. However, phosphocreatine (PCr) was significantly greater in livers of zebrafish exposed to either 1 or 10 $\mu$g MC-LR/L, compared with that of controls. PCr is used as an emergency energy source, donating one phosphate group to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) to supply unmet ATP need.\textsuperscript{60} Glycogen and $\alpha$- and $\beta$-glycose, were also greater in livers of zebrafish exposed to 1 $\mu$g MC-LR/L. Thus, greater concentrations of PCr, glycogen and glycose represented a protective mechanism to counteract deficiency in energy caused by exposure to MC-LR and qualified as biomarkers for toxicity of MC-LR.

One unexpected observation in proteomic analysis was that exposure to MC-LR can down-regulate expression of serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2A catalytic subunit (PP2A C subunit). Covalent binding and inhibition of PP1 and PP2A is widely assumed to be the principal mechanism by which MCs cause toxicity, which in turn causes excessive phosphorylation of proteins.\textsuperscript{66,67} Results of several studies, which were inconsistent with our study, have shown that MC-LR up-regulated expression of PP2A C subunit in Huh7 cells and zebrafish larvae and increased PP activities in liver and brain of zebrafish after acute or subchronic exposure.\textsuperscript{27,44,59,68} This could potentially have resulted from differences in species-, organ-, cell- sensitivities and/or difference between chronic exposure and acute/subchronic exposure. It is also possible that chronic exposure to MC-LR can modulate expression of protein phosphatase rather than serving the previously predicted structural roles as a molecular scaffold. Therefore, the finding that MC-LR can down-regulate expression of the PP2A C subunit observed in the present study might deepen our understanding of its toxicity mechanisms.

Four proteins including histone 2A (H2A), histone 3 (H3), histone deacetylase 8, acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family member E (ANP32E) were significantly different in livers of zebrafish exposed to 1 or 10 $\mu$g MC-LR/L. Histones are primary protein components of chromatin, acting as spools around which DNA winds, thus enabling compaction necessary to fit large genomes of eukaryotes inside their nuclei. ANP32E is an H2AZ chaperone, specifically able to remove H2AZ from the nucleosome.\textsuperscript{69} Modifications of histones, including acetylation and deacetylation act in diverse biological processes, such as regulation of transcription, repair of DNA, and condensation of chromosomes.\textsuperscript{70,71}

In summary, this is the first study revealing that chronic exposure to MC-LR cause damage of liver of a model fish species, zebrafish. Using combined proteomics and metabolomics, we have provided detailed molecular information, at both regulatory and functional levels, on the responses of zebrafish to MC exposure. MC-LR significantly caused dysfunctions of mitochondria and caused a switch from aerobic to anaerobic respiration. In addition, endoplasmic reticulum stress contributed to disturbance of metabolism of lipids in liver of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR.
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iTRAQ-based quantitative proteomic analysis

Extraction of Proteins

Samples of liver were ground while frozen in liquid nitrogen, then powdered cells were transferred to 5 mL centrifuge tubes and sonicated three times on ice using a high intensity ultrasonic processor (Scientz) in lysis buffer (8 M urea, 1% Triton-100, 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT, Sigma) and 0.1% Protease Inhibitor Cocktail IV). The remaining debris was removed by centrifugation at 20,000g at 4 °C for 10 min. Finally, the protein was precipitated with cold 15% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) for 2 h at -20 °C. After centrifugation at 4 °C for 10 min, the supernatant was discarded. The remaining precipitate was washed with cold acetone for three times. The protein was redissolved in buffer (8 M urea, 100 mM TEAB, pH 8.0) and quantified by use of the 2-D Quant kit (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) according to instructions provided by the manufacturer.

Digestion by Trypsin

For digestion, protein was reduced with 10 mM DTT for 1 h at 37 °C and alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide (IAA, Sigma) for 45 min at room temperature in darkness. For digestion with trypsin protein was diluted by adding 100 mM TEAB to a concentration of urea less than 2 M. Sequencing Grade Modified Trypsin (Promega) was then added at 1:50 trypsin-to-protein mass ratio for the first digestion overnight and 1:100 trypsin-to-protein mass ratio for a second digestion for 4 h. Approximately 100 μg protein for each sample was digested with trypsin for the following experiments.

iTRAQ Labeling

After digestion of proteins by trypsin, peptides were desalted by use of a Strata X C18 SPE column (Phenomenex) and vacuum-dried. Peptides were reconstituted in 0.5 M TEAB and processed according to the manufacturer’s protocol for 8-plex iTRAQ kit (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA). Briefly, one unit of iTRAQ reagent (defined as the amount of reagent required to label 50 μg of peptide) was thawed and reconstituted in
24 μl acetonitrile (ACN, Fisher Chemical). Mixtures of peptides were then incubated for 2 h at room temperature and pooled, desalted and dried by vacuum centrifugation.

**Fractionation by HPLC**

Samples were then fractionated by use of high pH, reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using Agilent 300Extend C18 column (5 μm particles, 4.6 mm ID, 250 mm length, Santa Clara, CA). Briefly, peptides were first separated with a gradient of 2% to 60% acetonitrile in 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 10 over 80 min into 80 fractions, Peptides were then combined into 18 fractions and dried by vacuum centrifuging.

**LC-MS/MS Analysis**

Peptides were dissolved in 0.1% formic acid (FA, Fluka), directly loaded onto a reversed-phase pre-column (Acclaim PepMap 100, Thermo Scientific). Separation of peptides was performed using a reversed-phase analytical column (Acclaim PepMap RSLC, Thermo Scientific). The gradient was comprised of an increase from 6% to 22% solvent B (0.1% FA in 98% ACN) over 26 min, 22% to 35% in 8 min and climbing to 80% in 3 min then holding at 80% for the last 3 min, all at a constant flow rate of 300 nl/min on an EASY-nLC 1000 UPLC system, The resulting peptides were analyzed by Q Exactive™ Plus hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Peptides were subjected to NSI source followed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) in Q Exactive™ Plus (Thermo) coupled online to the ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC). Intact peptides were detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 70,000. Peptides were selected for MS/MS using NCE setting as 30; ion fragments were detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 17,500. A data-dependent procedure that alternated between one MS scan followed by 20 MS/MS scans was applied for the top 20 precursor ions with abundances greater than an ion count of 10,000 in the MS survey scan with 30.0s dynamic exclusion. The electrospray voltage applied was 2.0 kV. Automatic gain control (AGC) was used to prevent overfilling of
the ion trap; 50,000 ions were accumulated for generation of MS/MS spectra. For MS scans, the m/z scan range was 350 to 1800. Fixed first mass was set as 100 m/z.

**Search of Database**

The resulting MS/MS data were processed using Mascot search engine (v.2.3.0). Tandem mass spectra were searched against *Uniprot_zebrafish* database (41,054 sequences). Trypsin/P was specified as cleavage enzyme allowing up to 2 missing cleavages. Mass error was set to 10 ppm for precursor ions and 0.02 Da for fragment ions. Carbamidomethyl on Cys, iTRAQ-8plex (N-term) and iTRAQ-8plex (K) were specified as fixed modification and iTRAQ-8plex (Y), oxidation on Met was specified as variable modifications. False discovery rate (FDR) was adjusted to < 1% and peptide ion score was set ≥ 20 to reduce the probability of false peptide identification.
HR-MAS $^1$H NMR-based metabolomic analysis

Sample preparation and $^1$H NMR spectroscopy

Each sample of liver was rinsed in saline $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ at 4 °C to maintain osmolality and to provide a field-lock, and placed into a 4 mm-diameter zirconium oxide (ZrO2) rotor with a spherical insert and a Kel-F cap. The total preparation time for each sample was less than 5 min. All high resolution magic-angle-spinning (HR-MAS) $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruke Avance 600 NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Germany) equipped with a triple-field resonance ($^1$H/$^{13}$C/$^{31}$P) high-resolution MAS probe, operating at a $^1$H frequency of 600.13 MHz. Samples of tissue were spun at 5 KHz at the “magic-angle” (54.7°) and maintained at 283 K to minimize temperature-dependent metabolic changes. The one-dimensional Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) spin-echo pulse sequence (recycle delay -90°-($\tau$-180°-$\tau$)$_n$-acquisition) with a fixed total spin-spin relaxation delay, 2 $\pi \tau$ of 64 ms, was applied to acquire $^1$H MAS NMR spectra of all samples. Water signals were pre-saturated by weak continuous wave irradiation on water resonance during the recycle delay. Typically, 64 transients were acquired with 32 K data points for each spectrum with a spectral width of 12.012 kHz, and the 90° pulse length was adjusted to approximately 9.5 $\mu$s for 90° pulse calibration individually for each sample. For assignment purpose, a series of 2D NMR spectra were acquired for some selected samples, including $^1$H-$^1$H correlation spectroscopy (COSY), $^1$H-$^1$H total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY), $^1$H J-resolved spectroscopy (JRES), $^1$H-$^{13}$C heteronuclear single quantum correlation spectroscopy (HSQC), and $^1$H-$^{13}$C heteronuclear multiple bond correlation spectroscopy (HMBC).

Processing of NMR Spectra

NMR spectra were processed by use of MestReNova (version 7.0, Mestrelab Research, Spain) and all free induction decays (FIDs) were multiplied by use of an exponential weighting function with a 0.3-Hz line broadening factor prior to Fourier transformation. Resulting NMR spectra were manually phased and baseline-corrected, then referenced to the internal lactate CH$_3$ resonance at 1.33 ppm. The spectral region
9.5-0.5 ppm was automatically divided into integral segments of equal width (0.004 ppm) and the regions of 6.08-5.45 ppm and 5.22-4.68 ppm (water) were removed.

**Pattern Recognition Analysis and Statistics**

For multivariate statistical analyses, after normalization of the spectra to the total integration value for each spectrum, data from NMR spectra were imported to SIMCA-P+ (V11.0, Umetrics, Umea, Sweden). Principal component analyses (PCA) were performed by using a unit variance (UV) scaling approach, and the data were visualized in the form of PC score plots, in which each point represented an individual sample. A more sophisticated discriminant technique, partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), was further applied to achieve global profile separation between the different treatments through maximizing systematic variance. Qualities of models were evaluated by 6-fold cross-validation parameters: $R^2$, indicating the total explained variation for the NMR data, and $Q^2$, indicating the predictability of the model, and an additional validation method, permutation test (permutation number = 200) was also conducted. Finally, the orthogonal projection to latent structure with discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) method was utilized to identify the differential metabolites responsible for MC-LR exposure on unit variance scaled data. Coefficient plots provide information on spectral regions responsible for classification of samples and the significance of such contribution. Loadings in coefficient plots were calculated back from coefficients incorporating weights of variables contributing to the sample classification in the model. Coefficient plots were generated by use of MATLAB scripts (http://www.mathworks.com) with some in-house modifications and were color-coded with absolute value of coefficients (r). Correlation coefficients ($|r| > 0.755$, which was determined according to the test for the significance of the Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient, were considered statistically significant based on the discrimination significance at the level of $p < 0.05$ and degrees of freedom = 5.
Table S1. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) primer sequences for selected genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Product length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phosphofructokinase, liver b</td>
<td>pfklb</td>
<td>GGATTTGAGGCGTATGAAGGA TGATGGTGGCACAGAATGAC</td>
<td>NM_001328389.1</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyruvate kinase, liver and RBC</td>
<td>pklr</td>
<td>AACACAGATGCTGGAGAGATGTGCTCTTGTG</td>
<td>NM_201289.1</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyruvate dehydrogenase (lipoyl) alpha 1a</td>
<td>pdha1a</td>
<td>CTGGTGGACCCCTCCTCTATTA TCAATGAGGCTGGGAGATTTAG</td>
<td>NM_213393.1</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit A, flavoprotein (Fp)</td>
<td>sdha</td>
<td>CTCTGAGACCCGCACTGATTTGATG</td>
<td>NM_1996924.1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1</td>
<td>nd1</td>
<td>TGGATGCTCAACCTGATCC</td>
<td>KM207051.1</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1</td>
<td>coxl</td>
<td>GAGCGCTATGCACTCTGAAAAT GGCCTAAGGCTGCTCATATA</td>
<td>NM_001199737.1</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP synthase 6, mitochondrial</td>
<td>atp6</td>
<td>CCTATATCTGGGCTCCTC</td>
<td>NM_001327972.1</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein disulfide isomerase family A, member 3</td>
<td>pdia3</td>
<td>GAGTTCTCTCTGATGGAAAGG CAGGAAGCGCTAGATTTA</td>
<td>NM_001199737.1</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A-like</td>
<td>ppcia</td>
<td>CACCTGACTTGCAAGATCCAAG GCCAGACCGCTACCATAT</td>
<td>NM_001200530.1</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum to nucleus signaling 1, zebrafish homolog of human IRE1</td>
<td>ern1</td>
<td>ATGGGTAAGAAGGAGGATG</td>
<td>NM_001200530.1</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-box binding protein 1, alternatively spliced</td>
<td>xbp1-1s</td>
<td>GATCCACTTGGCACCACCATC</td>
<td>NM_001100519.1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 3, zebrafish homolog of human PERK</td>
<td>eif2ak3</td>
<td>GCCTCAGAACAAGCAGATT</td>
<td>BC121204.1</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activating transcription factor 6</td>
<td>atf6</td>
<td>AAACCTCCACCTGCTAACATG AGCACTGGCTGCTGAA</td>
<td>NM_001100519.1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heat shock protein 5, zebrafish homolog of human glucose regulated protein 78</td>
<td>hspa5</td>
<td>GTGAAACGCGCAGAATG</td>
<td>NM_00123058.1</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heat shock protein 90, beta (grp94)</td>
<td>hsp90b1</td>
<td>AGGCCCTGAAAGGACACAAATG</td>
<td>NM_198210.2</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3, zebrafish homolog of human CHOP</td>
<td>ddit3</td>
<td>CCTCTGTTGGAGAGCTGAAA</td>
<td>NM_001082825.1</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>gapdh</td>
<td>AACCAGTGATGCTGCCTGATG</td>
<td>NM_001115114.1</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S small subunit ribosomal RNA</td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>GAGACTCCGGCAGTGCTCAAT</td>
<td>FJ915075.1</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2 Number of altered proteins in liver of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 μg/L MC-LR vs control</th>
<th>10 μg/L MC-LR vs control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>up-regulated</strong></td>
<td>annotated/total</td>
<td>9/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>down-regulated</strong></td>
<td>annotated/total</td>
<td>11/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>de-regulated</strong></td>
<td>annotated/total</td>
<td>20/37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3. Differentially expressed proteins (with functional annotation) in liver of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein accession</th>
<th>Protein description</th>
<th>MW [Da]</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>AASC [%]</th>
<th>MP</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Fold changea</th>
<th>Function category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 μg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 μg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 μg/L 10 μg/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amino acid metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q6E1</td>
<td>4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase</td>
<td>55878</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>2.09±0.25 2.18±0.06*</td>
<td>tyrosine metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6TGZ5</td>
<td>4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase</td>
<td>56913</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>0.28±0.00** 0.30±0.02**</td>
<td>tyrosine metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QHM8</td>
<td>Methylthioribulose-1-phosphate dehydratase</td>
<td>33409</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.98±0.03 0.73±0.03*</td>
<td>methionine metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1RLT0</td>
<td>S-adenosylmethionine synthase</td>
<td>53891</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.02±0.02 0.72±0.01**</td>
<td>methionine metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protein metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8E526</td>
<td>Rpl14 protein</td>
<td>23533</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.52±0.15 1.28±0.04*</td>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly; protein biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62084</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S7</td>
<td>29842</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.30±0.06 1.35±0.003*</td>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly; protein biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6P5L3</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L19</td>
<td>33444</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.85±0.40 1.44±0.03*</td>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly; protein biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PBV6</td>
<td>H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex subunit 2-like protein</td>
<td>23655</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.40±0.09* 1.12±0.07</td>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly; protein biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q5S9</td>
<td>Probable signal peptidase complex subunit 2</td>
<td>30634</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.18±0.06 1.35±0.06*</td>
<td>protein processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6NWJ2</td>
<td>Signal peptidase complex subunit 3 homolog (S. cerevisiae)</td>
<td>24556</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.32±0.14 1.45±0.06*</td>
<td>protein processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7T2E1</td>
<td>SEC13 homolog (S. cerevisiae)</td>
<td>41561</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.14±0.11 1.39±0.09*</td>
<td>protein transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lipid metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Molecule ID</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>MSEM</th>
<th>MSLDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A3QK15</td>
<td>Acetoacetyl-CoA synthetase</td>
<td>89178</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.61±0.66</td>
<td>0.69±0.03*</td>
<td>Fatty acid metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4V8S5</td>
<td>Acbd7 protein</td>
<td>14575</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.95±0.19*</td>
<td>1.48±0.15</td>
<td>atty-acyl-CoA binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q58EG2</td>
<td>Erlin-1</td>
<td>46984</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.12±0.01</td>
<td>1.33±0.03*</td>
<td>lipid metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6DRN8</td>
<td>Cdipt protein</td>
<td>26678</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.97±0.04</td>
<td>1.30±0.06*</td>
<td>lipid metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7T2J4</td>
<td>Alcohol dehydrogenase 8b</td>
<td>51445</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>0.90±0.16</td>
<td>0.67±0.05*</td>
<td>lipid metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9I8L5</td>
<td>Fatty acid-binding protein 10-A, liver basic</td>
<td>18977</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0.99±0.11</td>
<td>0.82±0.02*</td>
<td>Fatty acid transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O42364</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein Eb</td>
<td>37491</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.89±0.04</td>
<td>1.30±0.06*</td>
<td>lipid transport; lipoprotein metabolism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mitochondrial energy metabolism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Molecule ID</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>MSEM</th>
<th>MSLDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q6AZA2</td>
<td>NADH dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) flavoprotein 1</td>
<td>62421</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.89±0.03</td>
<td>0.69±0.03*</td>
<td>electron transport chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q61QM2</td>
<td>Cytochrome c</td>
<td>16734</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.85±0.10</td>
<td>0.70±0.04*</td>
<td>electron transport chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9MIY7</td>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2</td>
<td>27964</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.21±0.02*</td>
<td>1.05±0.07</td>
<td>electron transport chain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other metabolism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Molecule ID</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>MSEM</th>
<th>MSLDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2BHD8</td>
<td>Beta-hexosaminidase</td>
<td>71741</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1.22±0.03*</td>
<td>1.38±0.16</td>
<td>beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8W5B8</td>
<td>Phosphorylase</td>
<td>116134</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>0.79±0.17</td>
<td>0.70±0.03*</td>
<td>glycogen phosphorylase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q0E671</td>
<td>Cytidine monophosphate sialic acid synthetase 1</td>
<td>59775</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.33±0.07*</td>
<td>1.22±0.09</td>
<td>nucleotidyltransferase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5CZW2</td>
<td>Uridine phosphorylase</td>
<td>30974</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.75±0.08</td>
<td>0.76±0.03*</td>
<td>nucleotide metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTZUN6</td>
<td>Phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase, phosphoribosylaminoimidazole succinocarboxamide synthetase</td>
<td>57409</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1.08±0.17</td>
<td>0.73±0.02**</td>
<td>nucleotide metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8UVG6</td>
<td>Cellular retinol-binding protein type II</td>
<td>21443</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.74±0.15</td>
<td>0.54±0.02**</td>
<td>vitamin A metabolism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chromatin assembly and modification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Molecule ID</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>MSEM</th>
<th>MSLDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E7F4R5</td>
<td>Histone deacetylase 8</td>
<td>178359</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.82±0.18</td>
<td>0.70±0.02*</td>
<td>histone deacetylase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>M4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1K2S9</td>
<td>Histone H3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,27</td>
<td>5,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1RLR9</td>
<td>Histone H2A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,98</td>
<td>7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6NUW5</td>
<td>Acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family member E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,86</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1RLR9</td>
<td>Histone H2A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,98</td>
<td>7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6NUW5</td>
<td>Acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family member E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,86</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1Q229</td>
<td>Calcium uniporter protein, mitochondrial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,15</td>
<td>5,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1LWV8</td>
<td>Phosphoinositide phospholipase C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,69</td>
<td>2,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2L6L1</td>
<td>Protein canopy-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,97</td>
<td>23,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q303G3</td>
<td>Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,21</td>
<td>28,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1HU8</td>
<td>Intelectin 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>9,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24IW2</td>
<td>Lysozyme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,79</td>
<td>15,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PU1</td>
<td>CD81 antigen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,79</td>
<td>12,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7ZVM6</td>
<td>Cytotoxic granule-associated RNA binding protein 1, like</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,36</td>
<td>12,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PHG2</td>
<td>Hemopexin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,14</td>
<td>21,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QUW4</td>
<td>Hypoxia up-regulated protein 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,98</td>
<td>24,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q90486</td>
<td>Hemoglobin subunit beta-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>79,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9QH31</td>
<td>Aldehyde dehydrogenase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,82</td>
<td>19,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QUI4</td>
<td>Protein disulfide-isomerase (Fragment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,76</td>
<td>42,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6NYZ0</td>
<td>DnaB11 protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,63</td>
<td>15,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6PE26</td>
<td>Calr protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,38</td>
<td>35,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7ZMA3</td>
<td>Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,68</td>
<td>19,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4Y1A7</td>
<td>Calumenin-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,46</td>
<td>27,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein ID</td>
<td>Protein Name</td>
<td>Fold Change</td>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>No. of Peptides</td>
<td>Fold Change</td>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7SXF6</td>
<td>Cysteine-rich with EGF-like domain protein 2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.40±0.40</td>
<td>1.39±0.03**</td>
<td>calcium ion binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7ZT36</td>
<td>Parvalbumin 3</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.74±0.03*</td>
<td>1.12±0.27</td>
<td>calcium ion binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9QB46</td>
<td>Selenium-binding protein 1</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.34±0.09</td>
<td>1.44±0.04*</td>
<td>selenium binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6DGU5</td>
<td>Solute carrier family 25 member 46</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.81±0.01*</td>
<td>0.84±0.01</td>
<td>mitochondrial membrane fission; transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QT89</td>
<td>Reticulon</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.13±0.11</td>
<td>1.24±0.03*</td>
<td>membrane morphogenesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5U3G0</td>
<td>Progesterone receptor membrane component 1</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.35±0.12</td>
<td>1.62±0.07**</td>
<td>progesterone receptor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q64HD0</td>
<td>Sex hormone binding globulin</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71±0.02*</td>
<td>0.79±0.08</td>
<td>sex hormone transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1QRA6</td>
<td>Tetratricopeptide repeat protein 38</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.93±0.11</td>
<td>0.73±0.02*</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8AW82</td>
<td>Novel protein similar to human proliferation-associated 2G4 protein (PA2G4)</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.22±0.02*</td>
<td>1.03±0.03</td>
<td>growth regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The fold changes are indicated as compared to the controls. Values >1 indicate up-regulation, and values < 1 indicate down-regulation. Proteins with fold difference > 1.2 or < 0.83 and p < 0.05 were considered significantly altered, which are indicated with * (p < 0.05) or ** (p < 0.01).
Figure S1. Quality control validation of mass spectrometry data (A) mass error distribution and (B) peptide lengths of peptides identified by iTRAQ.
Figure S2. Concentrations of MC-LR measured in water during the 90-day study. Values are presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). ND, indicates not detected, i.e. lower than the minimum detection limit (MDL, 0.1 μg/L).
**Figure S3.** PCA score plots based on $^1$H HR-MAS CPMG NMR spectra of livers of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR.
Figure S4. PLS-DA score plots (left panel) derived from $^1$H HR-MAS CPMG NMR spectra of livers of zebrafish exposed to MC-LR and cross validation (right panel) by permutation test (n=200).