



# UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN

## University of Saskatchewan Renewal of Probation Recommendation

T2R

Year: \_\_\_\_\_

(Colleges of Agriculture, Arts and Science, Edwards School of Business, Dentistry, Education, Engineering, Medicine, Nursing and the Western College of Veterinary Medicine)

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Department: \_\_\_\_\_ College: -- \_\_\_\_\_

Employee ID# \_\_\_\_\_

### Recorded Vote of Department Renewals and Tenure Committee (Number):

<b>Vote:</b>	<i>Yes:</i>	<i>No:</i>	<i>Abstentions:</i>	<i>Absent:</i>	<i>On Leave:</i>	<i>Excluded:</i> (Conflict of Interest)
--------------	-------------	------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	--

### Recorded Vote of College Review Committee (Number):

<b>Vote:</b>	<i>Yes:</i>	<i>No:</i>	<i>Abstentions:</i>	<i>Absent:</i>	<i>On Leave:</i>	<i>Excluded:</i> (Conflict of Interest)
--------------	-------------	------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	--

<b>Standards Used:</b>	<i>Department Dated:</i>	<i>College Dated:</i>	<i>University Dated:</i>
------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

**Meeting Date:**

**Number of Eligible Members:**

**Number of Members for Quorum:**

**Number of Members Present:**

**Please List Names of Members Department / College Review Committee:**

### Summary of Committee Evaluation:

*In the available boxes for each category, record the committee vote or that the category is not applicable.*

	<b>Is Making Sufficient Progress Towards Meeting the Standard</b>	<b>Is Not Making Sufficient Progress Towards Meeting the Standard</b>	<b>Not Applicable</b>	<b>Not Required</b>
<b>1. Academic Credentials</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>2. Teaching Ability and Performance</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				

<b>3. Knowledge of Discipline and Area of Specialization</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>4. Research, Scholarly, or Artistic Work</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>5. Practice of Professional Skills</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>6a. Contributions to Administrative Responsibilities</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>6b. Contribution to Extension Responsibilities</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>7. Public Service and Contributions to Academic and Professional Bodies</b>				
<b>Evidence Considered:<sup>1</sup></b>				
<b>Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards:<sup>2</sup></b>				
<b>Minority View:<sup>3</sup></b>				

Evidence and Rationale for Evaluations:

- 1 For the evidence section please specify the methods of evaluation and summarize the aspects evaluated as required by the appropriate department, college, and university standards.
- 2 With respect to rationale please refer to the appropriate department, college, and university standards. Rationale statements should represent majority views for evaluation in each required category.
- 3 Where applicable.

<b>Date:</b>	<b>Committee Chair:</b>	<b>Signature:</b>
--------------	-------------------------	-------------------

Forward this form, accompanied by the up-to-date case file and other documentation for consideration to the Provost and Vice President Academic.

If the recommendation is negative, include a copy of the statement of reasons sent to the candidate, as required by Article 16.5.3.

Retain a copy for reference.