

# A TECHNICAL REPORT

## Effects of Castration Technique and Anesthesia on Behaviour and Weight Gain in the Feedlot

**Principal Investigator:** Joseph M. Stookey, PhD


**Collaborators:** Dr. John Campbell, DVM, PhD  
Dr. Eugene Janzen, DVM  
Dr. John McKinnon, PhD  
Dr. Jon Watts, PhD  
Mr. Derek Haley, MSc.

### Background:

In the production of our livestock and poultry species, a majority of the animals may experience some painful procedures within their lifetime. Such procedures may include dehorning, castration, branding, tail docking, beak trimming, vaccination, etc. all of which may be necessary management procedures depending upon the species, gender, rearing conditions or ultimate use of the animal. For any one procedure there is often a multitude of tools, techniques, and various ages during which the procedures are performed. For example, the cattle industry currently performs castration on bulls anywhere from 1 day of age all the way up past sexual maturity. The techniques currently include elastrators, the burdizzo and knives, all of which are normally used without anesthetics. It seems logical to assume that at least one of these techniques is superior, inflicts the least amount of pain and causes the least set back. As an industry we ought to know (society will demand that we know) which procedure is the most humane and we should move in that direction.

Even though we have known for some time that the younger the animal the more rapid is the healing process, the Canadian and American beef industry has continued to perform castration of bulls well past weaning age. This is in contrast to many of the European nations and in the U.K. for instance where the Protection of Animals (Anaesthetics) Acts and the Veterinary Surgeons Acts prohibit the castration of bull calves over 2 months of age by the producer and require a veterinarian and the use of anesthetics for animals over 2 months of age. Currently several equipment manufacturers in North America are marketing elastrator devices designed to fit mature bulls and are advocating delaying castration (see photo ad) because of the additional benefit in growth from endogenous testosterone produced by the testes. We believe, some of the preference by North American producers for a specific castration techniques is due to the fact we are either unaware or unconcerned about the amount of pain the animals may experience during the castration procedure. Furthermore there have been no comparative studies that have conclusively identified which procedure is the least painful.


**Switch to Delayed Castration . . .**



**The Smart Choice  
for increased weight gains**  
(Research confirms a 15% improvement)

**"The Castration Tool That Works!"**

The highest quality, most technologically advanced castration tool available!  
It features "built-in brains" that sense proper tightness every time, regardless of operator or the size of the animal.



**The NEW Callicrate  
SMART BANDER™**

Call today for a  
supplier near you:  
1-800-858-5974

NO-BULL ENTERPRISES LTD • P.O. Box 748 • St. Francis, KS 6775

Photo Ad. Example of advertisement that promotes the notion that cattle producers should delay castration.

## THE CALLICRATE BANDER... CASTRATION MADE EASY



**Major benefits include:**

- Reduces Stress
- Optimizes Growth
- Bloodless

## *The castration tool that works!*

### GROWTH PROGRAM

**Recommended Program to Achieve Maximum Growth & Return from Bull Calves**



**Calves sold at weaning:**

- Leave bulls intact
- Use The New Callicrate Smart Bander 3-4 weeks prior to sale date

**Calves retained through a growing or feeding phase:**

- Leave bulls intact until approximately 750 lbs or 115-120 prior to slaughter
- Use The New Callicrate Smart Bander and implant at the time of banding

An example of advertising encouraging cattle producers to delay castration to optimize growth.

One reason why we do not know which is the best castration technique is because the pain associated with the procedures is not easy to assess. Stress hormones which we would expect to respond to the castration procedure are also elevated by restraint and handling, thereby masking any response due to castration technique. In addition, changes in behavioural posture or activity during or following castration have typically been too crude or subjective to make conclusive statements. However, within the last few years we have developed more sensitive methods to quantify the animal's response during the application of a painful procedure. Previous work in our lab using strain gauges attached to the headgate and squeeze chute for measuring the escape response and the use of image analysis of taped video recordings of the animal's head movement have proved to be sensitive and reliable tools for measuring an animal's response during painful procedures (Schwartzkopf-Genswein et al., 1998).

### **Objectives:**

- 1) Determine if the behavioural response during and immediately after castration of sexually mature bulls varies depending upon the castration technique and the use of anesthetics.
- 2) Determine if the weight gain of sexually mature bulls after castration varies depending upon the castration technique.

### **Experimental Procedures:**

*Objective* ∴ A total of 150 bull calves were randomly allotted to 6 treatments. Fifty calves were randomly selected to serve as controls: 25 bulls were castrated and implanted 3 weeks after arrival and 25 kept intact for the duration of the study. The remaining 100 bull calves were randomly allotted in a 2 x 2 factorial design to investigate the impact of castration. Two castration procedures were compared, surgical castration (Newberry knife) vs. rubber rings (elastator designed for mature bulls), with and without anesthetics. Prior to castration the headgate was equipped with strain gauges hooked up to record the amount of force exerted against the headgate by the cattle during castration. On the day of castration all bulls were run through a chute complex, individually weighed, caught in a headgate and given either saline or an epidural designed to block pain in the hind quarters and testicular region. In addition each animal had two patches of hair clipped on opposite sides (5 x 5 cm) of the body. Within the two clipped areas a surgical staple was applied to serve as an attachment point for the electrodes from a heart rate telemetry transponder. Following injection, each animal was released and we waited a minimum of 5 min. to allow the anesthetic to take effect. After the 5 minutes had elapsed the bulls and steers were brought back into the facility, caught and restrained in the headgate and subjected to their respective treatments. A 10 second baseline recording of heart rate and exertion force was taken prior to the castration of each bull. Control

bulls and steers, plus the bulls castrated with the elastrator were restrained in the headgate for a comparable amount of time as the knife castration treatment to equalize the restraint time across all treatments.

The castrations were completed over a 4 day period. Each day an equal number of cattle from each treatment were castrated.

*Objective 2:* All bulls were weighed on a weekly basis for 28 days following castration and biweekly thereafter until slaughter. On each weigh day bulls on the castration treatment were inspected to determine if the incision site had heal or whether the testicles and scrotum had dropped off.

## **Results and Discussion**

The maximum force exerted against the head gate during the castration treatments is shown in Figure 1. Not surprising, bulls and steers that received an epidural block exerted significantly less force against the headgate compared to their counterparts that were given a saline injection. The bull that were castrated with the Newberry knife without an epidural block exerted more than twice the force against the headgate compared to all other treatments. The Newberry knife caused by far a greater response in the bulls both in exertion force and heart rate. Animals on all treatments had a drop in heart rate as measured from the 10 sec. baseline collected immediately after restraint and again following the castration period. The knife caused a significant drop in heart rate which is likely a reflection of an acute painful response due to castration. Surprisingly the elastic bands did not cause a marked response in heart rate or exertion force immediately following application.

Both castration treatments caused a reduction in weight gain compared to control steers and control bulls. Within the first seven days after castration 23 out of 50 bulls castrated with the Newberry knife lost weight, while only 3 out of 50 on the elastic band treatment lost weight (see Figure 3). However, throughout the duration of the trial both castration treatments performed at the same level and neither group was able to catch up to the control group prior to slaughter (see Figure 4).

Surprisingly, the elastic bands did not cause an acute response at the time of application. However, the elastic bands did cause a delay in wound healing compared to the knife. None of the testicles or scrotums had fallen off by the 21 day weigh period. Only 6 had fallen off by 28 days post application of the eleastric bands. Even though the scrotums appeared dead before they dropped off, as long as they were attached there was a weeping wound at the site where the live tissue met the band and necrotic tissue. Only 34 of the banded treatment group had dropped their testes and scrotums by the 42 day weigh period. The prolonged period that elapsed before the groups were completely healed must have had some negative effect on performance. Neither castration treatment resulted in satisfactory gains or in the time required to heal.

**Conclusion:** Compared to control steers the data clearly indicates that castration should not be delayed until the animal reaches 350 kg. If one must castrate bulls at a larger

weight, we recommend using an epidural block when castrating with the Newberry knife. Castrating with the Newberry knife to open the scrotum and using an emasculator to cut and crimp the chord caused a response indicative of acute pain. To surgically castrate mature bulls without mitigating pain would be deemed inhumane. Castrating mature bulls with elastic bands will result in a prolonged healing time and suboptimum gains.




Figure 1 . Maximum force exerted against headgate by cattle during treatment as measured via strain gauge.




Figure 2. Heart rate difference between pre and post- castration for cattle on various castration treatments.




Figure 3. Average weight of bulls and steers at start of trial and on day 7 following castration using the Newberry knife or rubber bands.




Figure 4. Average weight of bulls and steers at start of trail and on subsequent weigh days following castration with the Newberry knife or rubber rings.

**Project presentations:** data presented and discussed at:

Stookey, J. M. 2001. Castration and dehorning: We have done the science, when will we use the results? Conference Animal Agriculture 2001. Saskatoon, SK Jan. 12.

No scientific published paper at this time, manuscript in preparation.

**Information of benefit to producers, processors or government:** The information in this report provides strong evidence against castration of mature bulls. Both procedures could be viewed as inhumane based on performance, pain response and time to heal. Surgically castrating mature bulls without analgesics, based on these results could be viewed as unethical or as an act of cruelty.