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ABSTRACT

This is a reply to Ortega-Baes et al. (2010) survey of 25 Argentinean species of cacti evaluated for vivipary. We argue that the sample size and geographic area of the species investigated is insufficient to totally exclude the putative commonness of this condition in the Cactaceae. We indicate possible reasons why they did not find viviparous fruits in their survey. Failure to detect vivipary in cacti of NW Argentina may be correlated with limited taxonomic sampling and geographic region in addition to intrinsic and extrinsic plant factors, including different stages of fruit and seed development and genetic, ecological, and edaphic aspects, which, individually or in concert, control precocious germination. We uphold that viviparity is putatively frequent in this family and list 16 new cases for a total of 53 viviparous cacti, which make up ca. 4% incidence of viviparism in the Cactaceae, a substantially higher percentage than most angiosperm families exhibiting this condition. The Cactaceae ranks fourth in frequency of viviparity after the aquatic families of mangroves and seagrasses. We suggest the re-evaluation of cactus vivipary, primarily as a reproductive adaptation to changing environments and physiological stress with a secondary role as a reproductive strategy with limited offspring dispersal/survival and fitness advantages.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Sample size of species investigated

Foremost, we believe that the study of vivipary in NW Argentina requires a more exhaustive taxonomic and geographic sampling. The limited number of species and restricted geography are not sufficient to rule out Cota-Sánchez’s (2004) hypothesis about the putative frequency of vivipary in the Cactaceae. To argue this issue we need to consider first the Cactaceae of Argentina and the sampled area. According to the New Cactus Lexicon (Hunt et al., 2006) Argentina has approximately 170 species of cacti (out of an America-wide total of ca. 1430). Of these, 86 (over 50% of the country’s cactus flora) occur in Jujuy and/or Salta (D. Hunt and R. Kiesling, pers. com.), which happens to include the region investigated by Ortega-Baes et al. (2010). Despite the large number of Argentinean cacti, the authors investigated a mere 25 species, that is, only 14.7% of Argentina’s cactus diversity and 29% (less than one-third) of species of cacti in the Jujuy-Salta regions.

Another concern has to do with the dry climate of the study area. In addition to extreme dry regions, NW Argentina is characterized by areas with dry winters and a wide range of altitudinal ranges, promoting diverse climatic types, phytochoric provinces, and plant communities. It is not surprising that the authors
failed to find viviparity because they sampled cacti characteristic of the driest areas rather than from the different phytochorographic regions of northern Argentina. Vivipary is associated with fluctuating levels of water stress, and germination is associated with water availability (Baskin and Baskin, 2001). Thus, the absence of vivipary in the areas of Salta and Jujuy is likely linked with prevailing dry environments and limited water availability. Dry conditions increase the abscisic acid (ABA) levels (Rodríguez-Gacio et al., 2009), a condition not favorable for vivipary, which is linked to low, not high, levels of ABA (Farnsworth and Farrant, 1998).

To better understand the ecological, geographic, and taxonomic distribution of vivipary in this family, an extensive sampling encompassing the taxonomic diversity of the cactus family and the wide array of growth forms and ecological environments in which the ca. 1430 species occur in the Americas is necessary. New reports (indicated below) are evidence that vivipary is a suspected common attribute in the Cactaceae.

**New reports support that vivipary is a putative common trait in the cactus family**

We marginally agree that vivipary in the Cactaceae may be an uncommon attribute. To understand this idea, it is necessary to keep in mind that vivipary is a rare condition in the plant kingdom (Elmqvist and Cox, 1996; Farnsworth, 2000; Cota-Sánchez et al., 2007). To date, this reproductive mode has been reported in less than 1% of tracheophytes (Cota-Sánchez, 2004). Among angiosperms, there is compelling evidence that the Cactaceae is one of the few plant families with a higher incidence of viviparity. We have data of 16 new cases of viviparous cacti, namely Coryphantha radians (DC) Britton & Rose, Echinocactus platycanthus Link and Otto, Epiphyllum guatemalense Otto, Ferocactus peninsulae (F.A.C. Weber) Britton & Rose, Lepismium bolivianum Otto, Leptocereus arboreus Britton & Rose, L. curvispinus M. Ernestii, M. paucispinus Heimen and Paul, Pachycereus pecten-aboriginum (Engelm. ex S. Watson) Britton & Rose, Rhipsalis baccifera (Engelm. ex S. Watson) Britton & Rose, Leuchtenbergia principis Hook., Melocactus concinnus Buining and Brederoo, M. curvispinus Pfeiff., M. ernestii Vaupel, M. paucispinus Heimen and Paul, Pachycereus pecten-aboriginum (Engelm. ex S. Watson) Britton & Rose, Rhipsalis baccifera (J.S. Mueller) Stearn. subsp. baccifera, R. flocosca Salm-Dyck ex Pfeiffer subsp. pittieri (Britton & Rose) Bartlott and N.P. Taylor, and Weberocereus panamensis Britton & Rose (Cota-Sánchez et al., unpub. data). Moreover, two recent wild cases of viviparous cacti [Cephalocereus tolotapensis (Braço and T. MacDoug.) Buxb. and Pachycereus grandis Rose] were described (Rojas-Aréchiga and Mandujano-Sánchez, 2009). The preceding data suggest that viviparism is becoming a more frequent event in the cactus family and is present in two forms (pseudovivipary and cryptovivipary) in at least 53 (3.7%) species (Cota-Sánchez et al., unpub. data).

For comparative purposes, we used data from Farnsworth (2000) to put in perspective the incidence of vivipary in the Cactaceae compared to other angiosperm families with viviparous species (Table 1). Remarkably, the Cactaceae, a mainly tropical and subtropical family with terrestrial and epiphytic lineages, ranks fourth in incidence of viviparity after the aquatic families of mangroves and seagrasses (Cymodoceaceae) (Table 1). Thus, we tend to concur, in part, that vivipary is rare in the Cactaceae, but only when considering the high number of species in the family. While the ca. 4% viviparous species in the Cactaceae might seem irrelevant, this number is rather significant bearing in mind the family’s species diversity (1430) and considering that the number of viviparous species (53) is much higher than viviparous taxa in the Rhizophoraceae (18), Avicenniaceae (8), and Cymodoceaceae (4) (Table 1). These data substantiate that the Cactaceae is among the plant families with the highest incidence of viviparism.

**Table 1**

A list of plant families with higher frequency of viviparous species. With the exception of the cactus family, the number of viviparous species is based on data provided by Farnsworth (2000). The number of species in each family is based on Judd et al. (2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>No. Species/No. viviparous species</th>
<th>Percentage of viviparous species (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avicenniaceae</td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymodoceaceae</td>
<td>16/4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizophoraceae</td>
<td>87/18</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cactaceae</td>
<td>1430/53</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecythidaceae</td>
<td>225/5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corollaceae</td>
<td>110/2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>9700/23</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td>1435/2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areaceae</td>
<td>2780/2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>18000/4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other possible factors affecting the incidence of cactus viviparity in the wild**

The occurrence of vivipary is concomitant with certain environmental, edaphic, climatic, and physiological factors (Cota-Sánchez and Abreu, 2007; Cota-Sánchez et al., 2007). Studies of viviparous cacti in NW Sinaloa, Mexico, suggest that the ecological requirements for precocious germination, including halophytic substrate and flooding environments, vary among species (Reyes-Olivas et al., unpub. data). Different production levels and compartmentalization of phytohormones (particularly ABA) as well as different physiological, genetic and ecological factors, individually or in concert, also play a major role in the rate of precocious germination. Failure to detect vivipary in cacti of NW Argentina may be correlated with the plants’ intrinsic and extrinsic factors.

Other factors accounting for the absence of vivipary are seed development and fruit maturity. The completion of these two processes is necessary for embryo development and for seeds to enter the dormant phase (Baskin and Baskin, 2001). Embryo development in seed plants involves the morphogenesis and maturation phases. Thus, fruit maturity is determined by age and complete physiological development of seed and embryo. In orthodox and viviparous seeds, germination is timed to occur after fruit and seed development when favorable conditions are suitable for seedling establishment (Baskin and Baskin, 2001; Siobhan and Harada, 2008). It might be the case that 1) the fruits and seeds screened for vivipary in NW Argentina were not physiologically mature and/or the seeds were not fully developed and/or 2) the fruits lacked the appropriate conditions for germination to occur.

With cautious judgment and considering the overall limited data regarding number of species screened for vivipary to determine the taxonomic and ecological distribution of this trait in the Cactaceae, we believe that it is premature to rule out its presumed commonness in the family. In addition, in view of the scanty information regarding seedling establishment and survival, it is necessary to re-evaluate cactus vivipary, primarily as a reproductive adaptation to changing environments and physiological stress with a secondary role as a reproductive strategy with limited offspring dispersal/survival and fitness advantages.

In conclusion, although we are unable to provide a strong link between cactus vivipary and harsh conditions, most wild examples have been documented in stressful environments. Also, whether vivipary is indeed a reproductive strategy remains a disputed issue. Vivipary is a reproductive mode that has evolved in parallel in numerous angiosperm families (Cota-Sánchez and Abreu, 2007). It involves a high degree of ecophysiological specialization and complex phytohormone signaling (Farnsworth, 2000; Batygina and Bragina, 2009) and is detrimental in crop cereals (Tsiantis, 2006).
In-depth genetic, physiological, developmental and ecological studies will be instrumental in gaining a better understanding of the evolutionary and fitness advantages of this complex condition in angiosperms. The Cactaceae, a diverse monophyletic family of long-lived xerophytes and one of the few angiosperm lineages successfully radiating into different habitats, lends itself as a model group to study viviparism and unveil ecophysiological and evolutionary mechanisms that led to its reproductive specialization.
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