Case report

Papular eosinophilic/mastocytic dermatitis (feline urticaria pigmentosa) in Devon Rex cats: A distinct disease entity or a histopathological reaction pattern?
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Abstract A maculopapular eruption with clinical and histological features similar to those previously described in Sphinx cats under the name of urticaria pigmentosa is reported in five unrelated Devon Rex cats. Physical examination revealed erythematous, occasionally crusted papules, with a bilaterally symmetrical linear distribution on the latero-ventral trunk in two cases and a diffuse distribution on the ventral thorax in the other three cats. One cat also had a greasy seborrhoea on the head and dorsum. Pruritus and pigmented macules were present only in cats affected by secondary bacterial infection. Histological examination of papules in all cats and of the lesional skin of the cat affected by greasy seborrhoea revealed the presence of a perivascular to diffuse mastocytic and eosinophilic infiltrate in the dermis. The mean numbers of nondegranulated and degranulated mast cells per mm² were 303.2 and 451.6, respectively. The condition waxed and waned in all cats, and exacerbations were controlled with prednisolone or essential fatty acids.
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INTRODUCTION

In humans, urticaria pigmentosa is a generalized cutaneous form of mast cell proliferation (mastocytosis) that causes pruritus, whealing and red–brown macules or papules particularly over the trunk.¹–³ Half of the cases occur in infancy and its cause is unknown. Systemic malignant involvement is rare. Histologically, numerous mast cells are present in the dermis, usually associated with eosinophils.² Mast cells are spherical in shape and have abundant, intensely eosinophilic, granular cytoplasm and a small hyperchromatic nucleus.⁴ Treatment of human urticaria pigmentosa is symptomatic, based on avoidance of factors triggering acute mediator release, antihistamines, photochemotherapy, topical steroids and mast cell stabilizing agents.²,³

A skin eruption clinically and histopathologically similar to urticaria pigmentosa in humans has been observed in dogs and young Himalayan cats,⁵ and has been recently described in three related Sphinx cats.⁶ The main clinical feature in the latter report was a pruritic, maculopapular, bilaterally symmetrical, partially pigmented and crusted eruption on the trunk, limbs, neck and head. On histopathological examination, a perivascular to diffuse dermal and subcutaneous infiltrate of well-differentiated mast cells was observed. Therapy with hydroxyzine and prednisone was effective in one case.

We report here five further cases of what we believe to be the same or a very similar condition in unrelated Devon Rex cats.

CASES

Five Devon Rex cats (Table 1) were presented with a history of variably pruritic skin disease ranging from 3 weeks to 10 months. All cats were regularly vaccinated, received flea control products, were fed a commercial canned/dry food and lived indoors (two cats) and indoors/outdoors (three cats). Only one cat (Case 1) had received treatment prior to referral (prednisolone 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ once daily for 10 days), which resulted in remission of the clinical signs, but the skin disease had relapsed after discontinuation of therapy. On physical examination, all cats were healthy with abnormalities restricted to the skin.
Dermatological examination revealed similar lesions in all cats. In Case 1, there were multiple, erythematous, coalescing, crusted and hyperpigmented papules on the abdomen, groin and ventral neck (Fig. 1) and an erythematous, exudative, raised plaque on the abdomen, which was clinically suggestive of an eosinophilic plaque. Case 2 showed multiple, erythematous, exudative or crusted and partly hyperpigmented coalescing papules arranged in a bilateral, linear distribution on the ventral lateral thorax and abdomen. In Case 3, a bilaterally symmetrical linear papular eruption on the thorax and abdomen and a greasy, seborrhoeic, erosive dermatitis with hypotrichosis on the dorsum and head were observed (Figs 2–4). Case 4 presented with a diffuse papular eruption on the ventral neck and thorax (Fig. 5). In Case 5 there was a diffuse, erythematous papular eruption on the ventral thorax and flanks (Fig. 6), where small hyperpigmented macules were also observed (Table 1).

Differential diagnoses included urticaria pigmentosa-like dermatitis, atopic dermatitis, food hypersensitivity, flea bite hypersensitivity, dermatophytosis, mast cell tumour and superficial bacterial folliculitis. In addition, *Malassezia* dermatitis, feline paraneoplastic

### Table 1. Signalment and clinical data of five Devon Rex cats with papular mastocytic/eosinophilic dermatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Lesions and distribution</th>
<th>Pruritus</th>
<th>Pyoderma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 y</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>Erythematous, hyperpigmented papules, abdomen, groin, neck</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>Erythematous, hyperpigmented papules, linear distribution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Papular eruption</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>Papular eruption</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3 w</td>
<td>Erythematous papular eruption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: female; M: male; N: neutered; w: weeks; m: months; y: years.

**Figure 1.** Case 1: Multiple erythematous, crusted coalescing papules on the abdomen and groin.

**Figure 2.** Case 3: Bilaterally symmetrical, linear papular eruption on the thorax and abdomen.
syndromes, feline mural folliculitis and sebaceous adenitis were considered as differential diagnoses for the seborrhoeic dermatitis observed in Case 3.

Multiple skin scrapings, coat brushings and fungal cultures were performed in all cats and were negative. Cytological examination of material obtained form underneath crusts was performed in Cases 1, 2 and 5 and showed large numbers of degenerate neutrophils with intracellular and extracellular cocci, suggestive of a secondary superficial pyoderma. Moderate numbers of eosinophils were also present in Case 1. Cytological examination of an impression smear from the exudative plaque in Case 1 revealed eosinophilic inflammation, consistent with an eosinophilic plaque.

In Cases 1 and 2, preliminary treatment was prescribed, comprising methyl-prednisolone acetate (Depo-medrol®, Pharmacia & Upjohn) injections at a dose of 20 mg per cat given subcutaneously two weeks apart in Case 1 and cephalixin (Rilexine®, Virbac) at 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) twice daily for 3 weeks in both cases. The eosinophilic plaque in Case 1 completely resolved, while the other lesions either did not resolve or relapsed after discontinuation of treatment in both cases.
Biopsies were obtained from all five cats. Specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E), periodic acid Schiff (PAS) and toluidine blue (TB). Histopathological examination revealed irregular (Case 1) or regular (Case 2) epidermal hyperplasia, with spongiosis, focal eosinophilic exocytosis (Cases 4 and 5) and a few subcorneal eosinophilic pustules (Cases 1, 4 and 5). In Case 1, there were also focal aggregates of melanin pigment within the keratinocytes in the suprabasal layers and stratum corneum. In these areas, pigmentary incontinence was present. In all cases, the dermis was infiltrated by well-differentiated mast cells and eosinophils, with variable patterns of severity and distribution. These ranged from mild to severe and from superficial, interstitial and diffuse to deep perivascular infiltration. Mast cells were uniformly distributed and contained variable amounts of TB positive granules (Figs 7–8). Case 2 also showed focal interstitial to diffuse neutrophilic inflammation. In Case 3, biopsies from the seborrhoeic areas on the dorsum revealed a moderate superficial interstitial dermatitis comprising well-differentiated, granule-rich mast cells and eosinophils. Focal aggregates of melanocytes and melanin pigment were detected in the overlying epidermis, and melanophages were present in the dermis.

Morphometric analysis of mast cell numbers was performed using a Quantimet 500 analyser system (Leica Microsystems, S.p.A., Milan, Italy) on TB-stained sections. Microscopic fields were captured at high power (×40) and the number of nucleated mast cells for each dermal site calculated. Mast cells in close proximity to follicular units were not included. Data were expressed as number of counted cells per mm². The mean number of mast cells with many intracytoplasmic granules was 303.2 (SD = 138.7) cells/mm², whereas the mean number of degranulated mast cells, containing a few intracytoplasmic granules, was 451.6 (SD = 216.1). The mean numbers of nondegranulated and degranulated mast cells per high power field (×400) were 89 and 132, respectively.

The results of the histopathological examinations were suggestive of urticaria pigmentosa-like dermatitis, with hypersensitivity disorders as possible differential diagnoses. An elimination diet to investigate the possibility of food hypersensitivity was suggested to the owners of Cases 2, 3, 4 and 5. The diet was carried out in Case 4 only (horse meat for 6 weeks), but no improvement was observed.

Various treatments were prescribed in the five cases (Table 2). The condition was successfully controlled with prednisolone (Deltacortene®, Lepetit) at anti-inflammatory doses (2 mg kg⁻¹ orally once daily, followed by 1 mg kg⁻¹ every other day) in Cases 1 and 3, and with blackcurrant seed oil (Ribespet®, NBF Lanes) as a source of biotransformed essential fatty acids administered at twice the manufacturer’s recommended dose (0.05 mL kg⁻¹) in Cases 2 and 4. Case 5 responded to a combination of cyproheptadine (Periactin®, Novartis) (1 mg kg⁻¹ orally twice daily) and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (Synulox®, Pfizer) (20 mg kg⁻¹ orally twice daily). In all cases, the condition relapsed when the treatments were discontinued, and went into remission again with they were resumed (Table 2). In Case 2, mild relapses of the disease, which seemed to spontaneously wax and wane, were seen despite continuation of essential fatty acid administration.

**DISCUSSION**

Mastocytosis consists of a group of rare disorders characterized by a pathological increase in mast cells in tissues including skin, bone marrow, liver, spleen and lymph nodes. The most common clinical sign of mastocytosis is the presence of typical skin lesions of urticaria pigmentosa. Recently, it has been shown that adult-onset systemic mastocytosis is a clonal disorder often exhibiting mutations of c-kit, a proto-oncogene encoding the tyrosine kinase receptor for stem cell factor, whereas most cases of childhood-onset and familial mastocytosis seem to lack these mutations. Despite the presence of c-kit mutations, patients with skin disease generally carry a good prognosis, even if other organs are involved. Mutations of c-kit do not explain the initial cause of mastocytosis, and their prognostic
This is the first time that the disease named ‘feline urticaria pigmentosa’ or ‘urticaria pigmentosa-like dermatitis’ has been described in detail in a breed other than the Sphinx cat. A genetic predisposition was suggested in the Sphinx breed, as the three cats in the case series shared the same grandsire. Our cases occurred in unrelated cats, and in a different breed. However, the fact that the five cats in our report were all Devon Rex could support a genetic predisposition. Cats of this breed probably share numerous genes, as Devon Rex cats were created only recently by inbreeding one single ancestor individual. It is worth mentioning that, even if phenotypically similar, Sphinx and Devon Rex cats are genotypically unrelated. It is thus interesting that this disease has been recognized until today mainly in hypotrichotic or naked animals. It may be that hypotrichotic or naked breeds are predisposed, or that this skin condition, when occurring in an asymptomatic nonpruritic fashion, could go unrecognized in normally haired animals. However, as some of the affected cats show pruritus, the disease should be easily recognized also in haired animals, because of its striking clinical appearance.

Clinically, the condition described in the report by Vitale and co-workers is similar to that seen in our animals, with the exception that some of the cats in the present report (Cases 3 and 4) were not pruritic and did not have hyperpigmented macules. In our series, pruritus and hyperpigmented macules were observed only in cats whose lesions were secondarily infected (Cases 1, 2 and 5). In the report by Vitale et al., cytological examination of the lesions was not reported, and details about possible bacterial complications were not presented. It is possible therefore that this condition may not be pruritic per se, and hyperpigmentation could have been induced by secondary bacterial infection.

On histopathological examination, mast cells were always well differentiated and easily recognized in H&E-stained sections, owing to their typical morphology. Despite their high number, the lack of cytological atypia allowed us to exclude a neoplastic process. In normal cats, the mean number of mast cells per high power field (×400) was 12.5 (range: 0–60). In our cases, the mean numbers/mm² of nondegranulated and degranulated mast cells were 303.2 and 451.6, respectively. This equates to 89 nondegranulated and 132 degranulated mast cells per high power field (×400). These values were therefore much higher than those observed in normal cats. This finding was comparable with that observed in lesional skin of animals with eosinophilic plaque and eosinophilic granuloma. In none of the cases were areas of flame figures (amorphous eosinophilic material, also erroneously called ‘collagenolysis’) detected, however, the absence of this feature does not allow us to completely exclude lesions of the eosinophilic granuloma complex, as not all eosinophilic plaques and granulomas are characterized by the presence of flame figures. In fact, histopathology alone could not clearly differentiate between ‘urticaria pigmentosa-like dermatitis’ and other feline eosinophilic conditions, such as the eosinophilic granuloma, the eosinophilic plaque, atopic dermatitis, food hypersensitivity and flea-bite hypersensitivity. Even if the clinical signs are very suggestive, because of the histological pattern of nonspecific eosinophilic dermatitis, one cannot exclude the possibility that what we call ‘feline urticaria pigmentosa’ or ‘urticaria pigmentosa-like dermatitis’ is just one of many feline reaction patterns of hypersensitivity disorders, such as the eosinophilic granuloma or the eosinophilic plaque. The seborrhoeic condition seen on the back of the third cat, also featuring a diffuse mastocytic infiltrate on histopathological examination, was not described in the report by Vitale et al., or in any other previous reports, and it is unknown if it is part of the same condition or not.

### Table 2. Treatments and outcome of five Devon Rex cats with papular mastocytic eosinophilic dermatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Response and outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EFA</td>
<td>No improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone (1 month)</td>
<td>Remission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EFA</td>
<td>Relapses controlled with prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone (1 month)</td>
<td>Remission, treatment continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxatomide, prednisolone (1 month)</td>
<td>Minor waxing and waning relapses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (3 weeks) and EFA</td>
<td>Partial improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EFA</td>
<td>Remission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and cyproheptadine (3 weeks) and EFA</td>
<td>Remission and relapse while on EFA only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatments: EFA: Blackcurrant seed oil (Ribespet®, NBF Lanes) 0.05 mL kg⁻¹ orally once daily; Prednisolone: (Deltacortene®, Lepetit) 2 mg kg⁻¹ orally once daily, followed by 1 mg kg⁻¹ every other day; Oxatomide: (Tinset®, Formenti) 15 mg kg⁻¹ orally twice daily; Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid: (Synulox®, Pfizer) 20 mg kg⁻¹ orally twice daily; Cyproheptadine: (Periactin®, Neofarmamed) 1 mg kg⁻¹ orally twice daily.

A minor difference between the cases described by Vitale et al.⁶ and this case series is represented by the amount of eosinophils observed in the histological sections. In the report by Vitale, a small number of eosinophils were observed in two cats and a minimal eosinophilic infiltrate in the third, whereas in our report eosinophils were present in high numbers in all sections. This difference may represent a variant of the same disease, this may be due to the different breed or it may be that the disease described by Vitale et al. is not the same as the one observed in our cats. Eosinophils are usually present in sections from human urticaria pigmentosa.⁴

Owing to the presence of a dense infiltrate of mast cells and eosinophils, the possibility of this condition being a sign of an underlying allergic disease was considered. The finding of consistent eosinophilia in the cats described by Vitale et al.⁶ supports this hypothesis. The fact that in some cats the lesions were easily controlled with glucocorticoids and in others with essential fatty acids or antihistamines supports the hypothesis that this disease may be an allergic reaction pattern, even if in Case 4 food allergy was pursued with no success and intradermal skin testing was not carried out in any cat. Thus it is important to stress that an underlying hypersensitivity could not be ruled out in these cases, and we suggest that animals presenting with similar clinical and histological pattern should always have a diagnostic work up for underlying allergies.

It is not known if the conditions described by Vitale et al.⁶ and those in our report are the same as the human disease. Urticaria pigmentosa in humans is a form of mastocytosis¹⁻⁷ which usually occurs early in life (less than 6 months of age) and spontaneously resolves at puberty in 50% of the children, although in a minority of the cases it progresses to a systemic disease. The feline disease described in our report and that reported by Vitale occur in young adult cats and have similar clinical and histological features, with a good clinical prognosis. However, the number of cats with this condition observed to date is too low to exclude a systemic progression of this disease. The authors suggest that, until we know for sure whether this is a distinct disease or the same as the human condition, it should not be called ‘feline urticaria pigmentosa’ or ‘urticaria pigmentosa-like dermatitis’. The term ‘papular eosinophilic/mastocytic dermatitis’ would appear to be more appropriate.
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simétrica bilateral lineal en el tronco latero-ventral en dos casos, y una distribución difusa en el tórax ventral en los otros tres casos. Un gato mostraba también una seborrea oleosa en la cabeza y el dorso. El prurito y las máculas pigmentadas se encontraban presentes sólo en gatos afectados por una infección bacteriana secundaria. El examen histológico de pápulas en todos los gatos y en la piel lesionada del gato afectado por seborrea oleosa reveló la presencia de un infiltrado dérmico mastocítico y eosinofílico, perivascular a difuso. El número medio de mastocitos no-degranulados y degranulados /mm² fue de 303.2 y 451.6, respectivamente. El cuadro fue recidivante en todos los gatos, y el agravamiento fue controlado con prednisolona o ácidos grasos esenciales.
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